Plant Physiology Preview. Published on August 17, 2016, as DOI:10.1104/pp.15.02040

- 1 Running Title: SVR9 regulates chloroplast and leaf development
- 2
- 3 Corresponding author:
- 4 Fei Yu
- 5 State Key Laboratory of Crop Stress Biology for Arid Areas and College of Life Sciences,
- 6 Northwest A&F University, Yangling, Shaanxi 712100, China
- 7
- 8 Tel (Fax): +86-29-87091935
- 9 E-mail: flyfeiyu@gmail.com
- 10

11 Research Area: Genes, Development and Evolution

- 12
- 13

14	
15	Title: Chloroplast translation initiation factors regulate leaf variegation and
16	development
17	
18	Mengdi Zheng ^{1*} , Xiayan Liu ^{1*} , Shuang Liang ¹ , Shiying Fu ¹ , Yafei Qi ¹ , Jun Zhao ¹ , Jingxia
19	Shao ¹ , Lijun An ¹ , Fei Yu ^{1§}
20	
21	¹ State Key Laboratory of Crop Stress Biology for Arid Areas and College of Life Sciences,
22	Northwest A&F University, Yangling, Shaanxi 712100, People's Republic of China
23	
24	*These authors contributed equally to this article.
25	
26	One-sentence summary
27 28 29	SVR9 and its homologue SVR9L1 encode functionally redundant chloroplast translation initiation factors essential for chloroplast and leaf development in Arabidopsis

31

32 Footnotes

- 33 This work was supported by grants from the National Natural Science Foundation of China
- 34 (31170219 and 31570267 to F.Y., 31300988 to Y.Q. and 31400216 to J.Z.).
- 35
- 36 Corresponding author: Fei Yu, Email: flyfeiyu@gmail.com
- 37

38 Author contributions

- 39 F.Y. conceived and designed research plans; X.L. supervised the experiments; M.Z., S.L. and
- 40 S.F. performed experiments; M.Z., Y.Q., and J.Z. analyzed the data; L.A. and J.S. provided
- 41 technical assistance; F.Y. and X.L. wrote the article with contributions from all the authors.

42

44 ABSTRACT

45 Chloroplast development requires the coordinated expressions of nuclear and chloroplast genomes, and both anterograde and retrograde signals exist and work together to facilitate this 46 47 coordination. We have utilized the Arabidopsis yellow variegated (var2) mutant as a tool to 48 dissect the genetic regulatory network of chloroplast development. Here, we report the 49 isolation of a new var2 genetic suppressor locus, SUPPRESSOR OF VARIEGATION9 (SVR9). 50 SVR9 encodes a chloroplast-localized prokaryotic type translation initiation factor IF3. svr9-1 51 mutant can be fully rescued by the Escherichia coli IF3 infC, suggesting that SVR9 functions 52 as a bona fide IF3 in the chloroplast. Genetic and molecular evidence indicate that SVR9 and 53 its close homologue SVR9-LIKE1 (SVR9L1) are functionally interchangeable and their 54 combined activities are essential for chloroplast development and plant survival. Interestingly, 55 we found that SVR9 and SVR9L1 are also involved in normal leaf development. 56 Abnormalities in leaf anatomy, cotyledon venation patterns and leaf margin development 57 were identified in svr9-1 and mutants that are homozygous for svr9-1 and heterozygous for 58 svr9l1-1 (svr9-1 svr9l1-1/+). Meanwhile, as indicated by the auxin response reporter 59 DR5:GUS, auxin homeostasis was disturbed in svr9-1, svr9-1 svr9l1-1/+ and plants treated 60 with inhibitors of chloroplast translation. Genetic analysis established that SVR9/SVR9L1-61 mediated leaf margin development is dependent on CUP-SHAPED COTYLEDON2 activities 62 and is independent of their roles in chloroplast development. Together, our findings provide 63 direct evidence that chloroplast IF3s are essential for chloroplast development and they can 64 also regulate leaf development. 65

67 Introduction

68 One of the most remarkable achievements in nature is the evolution of the semi-69 autonomous organelles including chloroplasts and mitochondria in eukaryotic cells via 70 endosymbiosis (Dyall et al., 2004; Jensen and Leister, 2014). Current-day chloroplasts are 71 estimated to contain ~3000 proteins, similar to their cyanobacterial ancestors (Leister, 2003). 72 However, during the endosymbiotic process, the vast majority of genes encoding chloroplast 73 proteins has been transferred to the nucleus and became part of the nuclear genome, and 74 consequently higher plants have evolved regulatory pathways that exert control over these 75 nuclear genes for chloroplast proteins (Woodson and Chory, 2008). The fine coordination between the nucleus and the chloroplast has been fulfilled via two-way communications from 76 77 the nucleus to the chloroplast (anterograde) and also from the chloroplast to the nucleus 78 (retrograde) (Nott et al., 2006; Woodson and Chory, 2012; Chi and Zhang, 2013). 79 Much of what we know regarding retrograde signaling stemmed from the regulation of 80 the expressions of nuclear genes for chloroplast proteins by the functional and developmental 81 states of the chloroplast. In wild type Arabidopsis seedlings, carotenoid biosynthesis inhibitor 82 norflurazon (NF) can induce photobleaching of the chloroplast and trigger the massive down 83 regulation of nuclear genes for chloroplast proteins such as CHLOROPHYLL A/B BINDING 84 PROTEIN (CAB) (Nott et al., 2006; Chi and Zhang, 2013). Taking advantage of this NF-85 triggered retrograde response, a series of genomes uncoupled (gun) mutants that retained CAB 86 expressions upon NF treatment have been identified (Susek et al., 1993; Koussevitzky et al., 87 2007). Based on the GUN and other work, the chlorophyll biosynthetic precursor, Mg-88 protoporphyrin IX (Mg-protoIX), has been shown as one molecule that potentially serves as 89 the signal molecule in the NF-triggered retrograde signaling, although there are reports 90 arguing against this notion (Mochizuki et al., 2008; Moulin et al., 2008). AP2 type 91 transcription factor ABA INSENSITIVE4 (ABI4) has been identified as a nuclear 92 transcription repressor that executes retrograde signaling downstream of chloroplast GUNs 93 (Koussevitzky et al., 2007). Additional retrograde signals and signaling components have also 94 been identified. A screen with low concentration of NF and light intensity has identified 95 additional happy on norflurazon (hon) mutants (Saini et al., 2011). A chloroplast envelope 96 anchored plant homeodomain transcription factor PTM may be involved in signal 97 transduction from the plastid to the nucleus and PTM was shown to be able to bind the 98 promoter of ABI4 and activate its expression (Sun et al., 2011). The physiological states of the 99 chloroplast can also trigger signaling to the nucleus (Wilson et al., 2009; Leister, 2012). For 100 example, the redox state of the photosynthetic electron transfer chain has long been thought 101 as a generator of chloroplast-derived signals (Pesaresi et al., 2009; Kindgren et al., 2012). 102 Reactive oxygen species such as singlet oxygen generated from chloroplasts are also able to 103 trigger nuclear gene expression responses, via an EXECUTER1 and 2-mediated pathway

104 (Wagner et al., 2004; Lee et al., 2007; Galvez-Valdivieso and Mullineaux, 2010; Ramel et al., 105 2012). Recently, a plastid isoprenoid biosynthetic intermediate, methylerythritol phosphate 106 (MEcPP), was shown to be a new retrograde signal and able to trigger the expression of stress 107 related nuclear genes such as HYDROPEROXIDE LYASE (HPL) (Xiao et al., 2012). Plastid 108 gene expression (PGE) has also been proposed as a source of retrograde signals (Gray et al., 109 2003). Chloroplast translation inhibitors such as lincomycin could trigger the down-regulation 110 of photosynthesis associated nuclear genes, which may be part of the broader PGE-mediated 111 retrograde pathway (Pesaresi et al., 2006). 112 Besides these retrograde signaling pathways that often use the expressions of nuclear 113 genes for chloroplast proteins as the readout, there is also evidence suggesting other modes of 114 retrograde regulation, for example, the influence on overall plant growth and development by 115 the functional and developmental states of the chloroplast (Hricová et al., 2006; Fleischmann 116 et al., 2011; Tiller and Bock; 2014). In the Arabidopsis thaliana immutans mutant and the 117 tomato *ghost* mutant, both defective in the plastid alternative oxidase and displaying 118 distinctive green-white leaf variegation phenotypes, there are conspicuous leaf mesophyll cell 119 developmental defects in white leaf sectors (Josse et al., 2000; Aluru et al., 2001). Some 120 Arabidopsis albino mutants such as *pale cress* and *cla1* were also shown to have leaves that 121 lack the characteristic palisade tissue (Reiter et al., 1994; Mandel et al., 1996). In contrast to 122 the rapid progressing of the overall field of plastid retrograde signaling, our understanding of 123 the regulation of plant and leaf development by the developmental and functional states of the 124 chloroplast has remained descriptive. 125 We have used the Arabidopsis leaf variegation mutant var2 as a tool to probe the genetic 126 regulation of chloroplast development (Liu et al., 2010a; 2013; Qi et al., 2015). In this work, 127 we report the identification of a var2 genetic suppressor gene SUPPRESSOR OF 128 VARIEGATION9 (SVR9). Molecular cloning and functional complementation tests established 129 that SVR9 and its homologue SVR9-Like1 (SVR9L1) act redundantly as chloroplast 130 translation initiation factor IF3. Down-regulation of chloroplast IF3s' activities not only 131 caused chloroplast development defects, but also led to a series of leaf developmental 132 abnormalities including more serrated leaf margin, disorganized mesophyll cells and altered 133 cotyledon venation patterns. We further demonstrated that auxin homeostasis is disturbed in 134 mutants defective in chloroplast IF3s or in plants treated with chloroplast translation 135 inhibitors. Genetic evidence suggests that the NAC family transcription factor CUP-SHAPED 136 COTYLEDON2 (CUC2) is involved in the chloroplast IF3-mediated leaf margin 137 development (Nikovics et al., 2006). Our results suggest that chloroplast translation initiation 138 factors SVR9 and SVR9L1 are required not only for chloroplast development and var2-139 mediated leaf variegation, but also are involved in the coordination of leaf and chloroplast 140 development.

141

142 **Results**

143	The isolation and cloning of an extragenic <i>var2</i> suppressor locus <i>SVR9</i>
144	We have isolated and characterized genetic suppressors of the var2 leaf variegation
145	mutant through an activation tagging screen in the var2-5 mutant background (Liu et al.,
146	2010a; Putarjunan et al., 2013). Here we report the isolation of a new var2-5 suppressor
147	mutant designated 092-004 (Fig. 1A). Following our naming system, the suppressor locus in
148	092-004 was named SUPPRESSOR OF VARIEGATION9 (SVR9), and the genotype of 092-
149	004 was var2-5 svr9-1 and the suppressor single mutant was svr9-1. Phenotypically, svr9-1
150	showed a distinctive virescent phenotype, i.e., young and emerging leaf tissues displayed
151	pronounced chlorosis while these yellow tissues gradually turned green as mutant leaves
152	matured (Fig. 1A). Consistent with the virescent appearance, chlorophylls accumulated at
153	lower levels in young and emerging tissues, but increased in mature tissues in svr9-1 and 092-
154	004 (Fig. 1B). The suppression of var2 leaf variegation by svr9-1 was not allele specific as
155	svr9-1 can suppress a stronger var2 allele, var2-4 (Fig. 1C and 1D).
156	Initial co-segregation analyses judged by herbicide Basta resistance indicated that svr9-1
157	was likely linked with T-DNA, but we were not able to identify the T-DNA insertion site via
158	various techniques. We thus utilized a map-based cloning procedure to identify SVR9 (Fig. 2).
159	Bulked segregant analysis and subsequent fine mapping narrowed SVR9 to a ~415 kb region
160	on chromosome 2 (Fig. 2A). Based on the svr9-1 phenotype, we reasoned that SVR9 likely
161	codes for a chloroplast-localized protein. DNA sequences of potential nuclear genes for
162	chloroplast proteins in the interval were examined. Surprisingly, PCRs failed to amplify
163	genomic regions around intron 4 of At2g24060 in svr9-1, suggesting At2g24060 genomic
164	sequences may be altered in svr9-1 (Fig. 2B). Consistent with an abnormality at genomic
165	DNA level, At2g24060 transcripts were not detected in svr9-1 (Fig. 2C). These results
166	indicated that At2g24060 is disrupted in svr9-1.
167	
168	SVR9 encodes a chloroplast translation initiation factor IF3
169	To confirm that the virescent phenotype of svr9-1 and the suppression of var2-5 were
170	indeed due to a potential disruption of At2g24060, we carried out complementation tests.

171 Independent transgenic lines over-expressing At2g24060 under the 35S promoter were able to

reverse the virescent phenotype of *svr9-1* and restore the leaf variegation phenotype in 092-

173 004, thus confirming that At2g24060 is SVR9 (Fig. 3A).

SVR9/At2g24060 was annotated to encode a putative chloroplast protein of 312 amino
acids. SVR9 shows high homologies with translation initiation factor 3 (IF3) proteins in
prokaryotes (Supplemental Fig. S1). To test whether the virescent phenotype of *svr9-1* was
indeed caused by the lack of a chloroplast IF3, we carried out functional complementation

178 tests using the *Escherichia coli* IF3 protein infC (Sacerdot et al., 1982). First, we established 179 that the putative chloroplast transit peptide of SVR9 (cTP_{SVR9} , N-terminal 1-71 amino acid 180 residues of SVR9) was sufficient to guide GFP into the chloroplast (Supplemental Fig. S2). 181 Then a binary vector containing a chimeric gene with the cTP_{SVR9} fused at the N-terminus of 182 E. coli infC under the control of the 35S promoter was generated (P_{35S} : cTP_{SVR0} -infC) and 183 transformed into svr9-1. Independent transgenic plants showed green leaf coloration, 184 indicating that cTP_{SVR9}-infC can functionally replace SVR9 (Fig. 3B). Moreover, when 185 P_{355} : cTP_{SVR9} -infC was introduced into 092-004, transgenic plants showed leaf variegation 186 phenotype resembled that of *var2-5*, suggesting also a functional complementation (Fig. 3B). 187 These results indicate that *E. coli* infC can substitute for SVR9 and the phenotype of *svr9-1* 188 and the suppression of var2-5 leaf variegation by svr9-1 were caused by the lack of a 189 chloroplast translation initiation factor IF3. 190 Phylogenetic analysis of SVR9-like proteins in various photosynthetic organisms showed 191 that higher plant chloroplasts often contain more than one IF3 coding genes (Supplemental 192 Fig. S3). In Arabidopsis, two other genes, At4g30690 and At1g34360, also potentially code 193 for prokaryotic IF3-like proteins (Fig. 4A; Nesbit et al., 2015). Of the three genes, SVR9 and 194 At4g30690 code for putative chloroplast proteins and share the same gene structures, and 195 \sim 78% identities in amino acid sequences (Fig. 4A; Supplemental Figs. S1 and S3). We thus 196 named At4g30690 as SVR9-LIKE1 (SVR9L1). In contrast, At1g34360 codes for a 197 mitochondrial protein and its gene structure was different from that of SVR9 or At4g30690 198 (Fig. 4A; Nesbit et al., 2015). To confirm the chloroplast localizations of SVR9 and SVR9L1, 199 constructs expressing C-terminal GFP fusion proteins SVR9-GFP and SVR9L1-GFP were 200 generated and used to transform Arabidopsis wild type leaf protoplasts. When GFP alone is 201 expressed, green fluorescent signals were mainly detected in the cytosol (Fig. 4B). On the 202 other hand, protoplasts expressing SVR9-GFP or SVR9L1-GFP showed signals that clearly 203 aligned with chlorophyll autofluorescence, confirming the chloroplast localization of both 204 proteins (Fig. 4B). Taken together, our results demonstrate that SVR9 and SVR9L1 encode the 205 chloroplast translation initiation factor IF3 proteins. 206 Lastly, we examined the tissue expression pattern of SVR9. A \sim 1.9 kb promoter region 207 upstream of SVR9 start codon was transcriptionally fused with the GUS gene and introduced 208 into wild type Arabidopsis. Histochemical GUS staining was performed in P_{SVR9}: GUS lines at 209 various developmental stages. In general, strong GUS activities were detected in 210 photosynthetic tissues while GUS levels were below the detection limit in roots (Fig. 4C). In 211 addition, SVR9 showed stronger expressions in young, newly emerged leaves as compared to 212 the gradually reducing expressions in older leaves (Fig. 4C and 4D). These observations

suggest that the expression of SVR9 is regulated by leaf developmental stages and the need

214 for SVR9 activities is probably higher in actively growing young tissues, consistent with the

- 215 virescent mutant phenotypes.
- 216
- 217 Genetic interactions between *svr9-2*, *svr9l1-1* and *var2*
- 218 To further dissect the functions of SVR9 and SVR9L1, and their interactions with var2, 219 we sought for additional mutant alleles of these two genes. For SVR9, we obtained a SAIL T-220 DNA insertion line SAIL 172 F02 and confirmed that the T-DNA was located in the last 221 exon of SVR9, 175 bp upstream of the stop codon, and this allele was named svr9-2 222 (Supplemental Fig. S4). For SVR9L1, we obtained a SALK T-DNA insertion line 223 SALK 140431C, which harbored a T-DNA in the 6th intron, and this mutant allele was 224 named svr911-1 (Supplemental Fig. S4). In contrast to the virescent phenotype of svr9-1, 225 svr9-2 showed an overall wild type-like phenotype and reduced accumulation of SVR9 226 transcripts, suggesting that it is a weaker allele of SVR9 (Supplemental Fig. S4). Similarly, 227 svr9l1-1 mutants were also indistinguishable from wild type (Supplemental Fig. S4). Double 228 mutants of svr9-2 and svr9l1-1 also resembled wild type (Supplemental Fig. S4). 229 We next examined the genetic interactions between svr9-2, svr9l1-1 and two var2 mutant 230 alleles, var2-4 and var2-5 (Supplemental Fig. S5). To evaluate the extent of leaf variegation 231 in a quantitative manner, images of the first true leaves from each genotype were converted to 232 greyscale and distributions of the pixel intensity values of these images were generated and 233 compared. Green sectors of a leaf will have a lower pixel intensity value while white sectors 234 contain pixels with higher intensity values. Uniform leaf color will give rise to a distribution 235 with a relatively narrow peak. In contrast, variegated leaf images have broader peaks and 236 contain more pixels of higher intensity values. Analysis of wild type, var2-5 and var2-4 leaf 237 images showed that they each display a distinctive pattern of pixel intensity histogram that 238 correlates well with the degree of variegation (Fig. 5A and 5E). On the other hand, svr911-1, 239 svr9-2 and svr9l1-1 svr9-2 leaves are not only visually indistinguishable from wild type but 240 also have pixel intensity histograms similar to that of wild type (Fig. 5B and 5F). Double 241 mutants of svr9l1-1 with var2-5 or var2-4 showed leaf variegation that resembled var2-5 and 242 var2-4, respectively, suggesting that svr9l1-1 alone does not significantly alter var2 leaf variegation (Fig. 5C and 5D). In contrast, double mutants of svr9-2 with var2-5 or var2-4 243 244 showed reduced leaf variegation than var2-5 and var2-4, respectively, suggesting that a 245 weaker allele of SVR9 can partially suppress var2 leaf variegation (Fig. 5C and 5D). The 246 stronger effects of svr9-2 compared to svr9l1-1 in suppressing var2 variegation were also 247 clearly reflected by the shifts observed in the histograms of svr9-2 var2 and svr9l1-1 var2 248 double mutant leaf images (Fig. 5G and 5H). Interestingly, leaf variegation was almost absent 249 in svr9l1-1 svr9-2 var2 triple mutants (Fig. 5C-5D, 5G-5H). The fact that var2 leaf 250 variegation was suppressed to a stronger degree in the svr9l1-1 svr9-2 background than in the

- 251 *svr9-2* mutant alone suggested an additive effect of *svr9l1-1* and *svr9-2* on suppressing *var2*
- 252 leaf variegation. Together, these data suggest that despite their overall wild type appearances,
- svr911-1, svr9-2, and svr911-1 svr9-2 mutants possess molecular phenotypes, and these
- 254 phenotypes can modify *var2* leaf variegation.
- 255

256 Chloroplast translation initiation factor IF3 activities are essential for plant

257 development

258 Given the high homology between SVR9 and SVR9L1, we next tested the functional 259 relationship between these two genes. As svr9-2 svr9l1-1 double mutants resembled wild 260 type, we sought to obtain svr9-1 svr9l1-1 double mutants. However, extensive genotyping did 261 not identify double mutants homozygous for both svr9-1 and svr911-1. We did recover mutant 262 plants that were homozygous for svr9-1 but heterozygous for svr9l1-1 (referred to as svr9-1 263 svr9l1-1/+ hereafter), which showed a stronger virescent phenotype and smaller stature than 264 svr9-1 alone (Fig. 6A). Genotyping the selfed progeny of svr9-1 svr9l1-1/+ did not yield the 265 expected double mutants either. In the siliques of svr9-1 svr9l1-1/+ plants, we observed an 266 increased occurrence of abortive seeds (Fig. 6B). In addition, we generated transgenic plants 267 in svr9-1 background that expressed SVR9L1 under the control of the 35S promoter. The over-268 expression of SVR9L1 effectively rescued the virescent phenotype of svr9-1 (Fig. 6C). These 269 data suggest that: 1) SVR9 and SVR9L1 are functionally redundant; 2) svr9-1 svr9l1-1 is likely 270 lethal and 3) chloroplast IF3 activities are essential for chloroplast and plant development.

271

272 Compromised chloroplast translation initiation factor IF3 activities lead to leaf

273 developmental defects

274 During the investigation of mutant alleles of SVR9 and SVR9L1 and their genetic 275 interactions, we noticed a number of leaf developmental defects in svr9-1 and svr9-1 svr9l1-276 I/+. First, leaf margin development was perturbed in these mutants. *svr9-1* displayed a more 277 serrated leaf margin compared to that of wild type (Fig. 7A). Leaf margins of svr911-1 278 resembled wild type, consistent with its wild type appearance (Fig. 7A). However, svr9-1 279 svr9l1-1/+ plants showed an even more pronounced leaf margin serration compared to either 280 of the single mutant (Fig. 7A). The increased leaf serrations from svr9-1 to svr9-1 svr9l1-1/+ 281 was further validated by the quantitative analysis of leaf shape based on three different 282 parameters, the leaf dissection index (perimeter²/ 4π ×leaf area), the number of teeth/leaf 283 perimeter, and the tooth area/leaf area (Royer et al., 2008; Bilsborough et al., 2011) (Fig. 7B-284 7D). These analyses suggest that decreased activities of chloroplast IF3s promotes the 285 initiation and the outgrowth of leaf lobes. However, we did not observe major leaf serration 286 changes in svr8-2, a null mutant lacking the chloroplast ribosomal protein L24, suggesting

- that not all mutants of chloroplast translation display abnormal leaf margin development
- 288 (Supplemental Fig. S6; Liu et al., 2013).
- Second, we examined the leaf anatomy of these mutants. Cross sections of wild type leaves reveal the organized arrangement of palisade and spongy mesophyll (Fig. 7E). In *svr9-1*, palisade mesophyll can still be distinguished but is less organized than that of wild type (Fig. 7E). Leaf mesophyll was more dramatically affected in *svr9-1 svr9l1-1/*+, in which the distinction between palisade and spongy mesophyll became obscure and intercellular air space appeared to be increased (Fig. 7E).
- Third, we discovered that leaf vascular development is also altered in *svr9-1* and *svr9-1* svr9l1-1/+ mutants, as indicated by the cotyledon venation patterns. We used the number of closed areoles in mature cotyledons as an indicator of leaf vascular development (Sieburth, 1999). In wild type, cotyledons with two, three and four areoles were common. In *svr9-1*, although the predominant portions of cotyledons show two or three areoles, cotyledons with only one areole were identified in *svr9-1* (Table 1; Fig. 7F). The defects were more conspicuous in *svr9-1 svr9l1-1*/+. The percentage of three or four areoles were drastically
- sol conspications in svip-1 svipi1-17. The percentage of three of four arcores were drasheany
- decreased in *svr9-1 svr9l1-1/+* (Table 1; Fig. 7F). Moreover, cotyledons with no closed
- areoles can be identified in *svr9-1 svr9l1-1/+* (Table 1; Fig. 7F). Abnormal leaf vascular
- 304 development is not generally associated with defects of chloroplast development as we did
- 305 not observe major cotyledon venation changes in an albino mutant *svr4-2* we reported earlier

306 (Supplemental Table S1; Yu et al., 2011).

- 307Taken together, our data show that the down-regulated chloroplast translation initiation308factor IF3 activities alter leaf margin, mesophyll and vascular development.
- 309

310 Chloroplast translation defects alter auxin homeostasis

311 Phytohormone auxin has been implicated in the regulation of leaf margin and vascular 312 development (Scarpella et al., 2006; Bilsborough et al., 2011). Given the margin and vascular 313 defects of svr9-1 and svr9-1 svr9l1-1/+, we explored whether auxin homeostasis was altered 314 in the mutants. To monitor auxin homeostasis, we crossed an auxin reporter line DR5:GUS 315 into svr9-1 and svr9-1 svr9l1-1/+ mutant backgrounds, respectively. GUS staining of 316 DR5:GUS reporter line seedlings revealed auxin maxima at cotyledon tips, consistent with 317 previous reports (Ulmasov et al., 1997). In contrast, stronger and more expanded DR5:GUS 318 signals were observed in svr9-1 background compared to that of the DR5:GUS reference 319 plants (Fig. 8A and 8B). Clear signals can be observed along the leaf margin in svr9-1 320 DR5:GUS plants (Fig. 8B). A stronger increase in the DR5:GUS staining was observed in the 321 svr9-1 svr9l1-1/+ background (Fig. 8C). If the mutations of chloroplast IF3s can lead to 322 abnormal auxin homeostasis, one would expect that the inhibition of chloroplast translation

323 might also cause similar alterations in auxin homeostasis. To test this hypothesis, we treated

324 DR5:GUS reporter plants with chemical inhibitors of prokaryotic translation,

325 chloramphenicol and spectinomycin, respectively. Compared to plants subjected to the mock

treatments, both chloramphenicol and spectinomycin treatments led to stronger and more

327 diffused distributions of auxin signals (Fig. 8D-8F). These data suggest that disturbances in

- chloroplast translation induced by mutations or pharmacological treatments can affect auxinhomeostasis.
- 330 We next tested whether auxin homeostasis can impact the development of leaf margins of
- 331 svr9-1 and svr9-1 svr9l1-1/+. Mutant plants were treated with auxin transport inhibitor 2,3,5-

triiodobenzoic acid (TIBA) or *N*-1-naphthylphthalamic acid (NPA). Compared with mock

treatments, *svr9-1* and *svr9-1 svr9l1-1/+* lost the serrated leaf margins upon TIBA or NPA

- treatments (Fig. 9A and 9B). Consistent with the visual observations, statistical analysis
- showed that both TIBA and NPA treatments greatly reduced the leaf dissection index of svr9-

336 *1* and *svr9-1 svr9l1-1/+* (Fig. 9C and 9D). These results indicate that artificially altering auxin

- homeostasis by drugs could modulate *svr9-1* and *svr9-1 svr9l1-1/+* mutant leaf margins.
- 338

CUC2 is involved in the regulation of leaf margin development mediated by chloroplast translation initiation factor IF3s

341 It is well established that NAC family transcription factor CUP-SHAPED

342 COTYLEDON2 (CUC2) is a central regulator in auxin-mediated leaf margin development

343 (Nikovics et al., 2006; Kawamura et al., 2010; Bilsborough et al., 2011). To dissect

344 genetically the regulation of leaf margin by chloroplast IF3s, we investigated the genetic

interactions between SVR9, SVR9L1 and CUC2. We obtained a CUC2 loss-of-function mutant

346 SALK_035713 (named cuc2-101 hereafter) and a gain-of-function mutant cuc2-1D (Larue et

al., 2009). Consistent with previous reports, cuc2-101 showed a smooth leaf margin while

348 *cuc2-1D* displayed a more serrated leaf margin (Fig. 10A; Nikovics et al., 2006; Larue et al.,

349 2009). We next crossed svr9-1 and svr9-1 svr9l1-1/+ into the cuc2-101 and cuc2-1D

background, respectively. Overall, the presence of *cuc2-101* mutation greatly suppressed the

leaf dissection index, the number of teeth/leaf perimeter, and the tooth area/leaf area in svr9-1

352 (Fig. 10A-10D). Similar suppression of leaf serrations by *cuc2-101* was also observed in

353 *svr9-1 svr9l1-1/+*, albeit to a less extent (Fig. 10A-10D). On the other hand, the addition of

354 *cuc2-1D* to *svr9-1* increased leaf serration based on the three parameters we monitored (Fig.

355 10B-10D). In *svr9-1 svr9l1-1/+* background, introduction of *cuc2-1D* mutation has no

356 obvious impact on the leaf dissection index and the number of teeth/leaf perimeter, but *cuc2*-

- 357 1D did increase the tooth area/leaf area in svr9-1 svr9l1-1/+ (Fig. 10B-10D). This is
- 358 consistent with previous notion that increased CUC2 expression promotes leaf marginal
- 359 serrations mostly by increasing the lobe outgrowth (Kawamura et al., 2010). Our observations
- suggest that *svr9-1* and *svr9-1 svr9l1-1/*+-mediated leaf margin development involves *CUC2*.

361 Interestingly, despite the genetic interaction between CUC2 and SVR9/SVR9L1 on the

362 leaf shape characteristics, neither *cuc2* mutants affects the virescent leaf color phenotype of

363 svr9-1 or svr9-1 svr9l1-1/+ (Fig. 10A; Supplemental Fig. S7). Together the genetic

364 interactions between SVR9 and CUC2 suggest that SVR9 and SVR9L1-mediated leaf margin

- development is dependent on CUC2 activities and is independent of their roles in chloroplastdevelopment.
- 367

368 Discussion

Chloroplast translation initiation factor IF3 activities are essential for chloroplast and plant development

We are interested in using *var2* as a tool to gain insight into the genetic regulation of chloroplast development (Liu et al., 2010a). In this study, we isolated a new *var2* genetic suppressor locus termed *SUPPRESSOR OF VARIEGATION9* (*SVR9*). We showed that *SVR9* encodes a chloroplast protein bearing high homology to prokaryotic translation initiation factor IF3. In addition, *svr9-1* mutant phenotypes were fully complemented by a bacterial IF3 directed by the chloroplast transit peptide of SVR9. Taken together, we showed conclusively that *SVR9* codes for a *bona fide* chloroplast translation initiation factor IF3.

- In *Arabidopsis thaliana*, there are three genes that are annotated to code for prokaryotic type translation initiation factor IF3 (Nesbit et al., 2015). Besides SVR9, we showed that a
- 380 close homologue of SVR9, which we named SVR9-LIKE1 (SVR9L1), is also a chloroplast

381 protein, while the third gene product is a mitochondrial protein (Nesbit et al., 2015). This

382 complement of prokaryotic type IF3s is consistent with the endosymbiotic origins of the two

383 organelles. For chloroplast-localized SVR9 and SVR9L1, multiple pieces of evidence suggest

that they share redundant functions in chloroplast development. SVR9 and SVR9L1 share the

same gene structures and high homology at amino acid sequence level (Fig. 4A,

386 Supplemental Fig. S1), suggesting that they may have evolved through gene duplication.

387 Functionally, *svr9-1* can be fully rescued by the over-expression of *SVR9L1* (Fig. 6C).

388 Moreover, genetic interaction studies revealed that the combined activities of SVR9 and

389 SVR9L1 are essential for chloroplast and plant development, as we were not able to recover

double homozygous mutants for *svr9-1* and *svr9l1-1*. Based on the severity of *svr9-1* and

391 svr9l1-1 mutant phenotypes, it is likely that SVR9 contributes more to the overall chloroplast

392 IF3 activities while SVR9L1 plays a relatively minor role, and the genetic relationship

between *SVR9* and *SVR9L1* indicates an unequal functional redundancy (Briggs et al., 2006).

394 Three prokaryotic translation initiation factors, IF1, IF2 and IF3, are employed by the

- 395 70S ribosome, and IF3 has been proposed to be responsible for sensing translation initiation
- regions of mRNAs and ensuring initiation fidelity (Lomakin et al., 2006; Milon et al., 2008).
- 397 Our results indicate that chloroplast translation initiation factor IF3 activities, contributed by

both SVR9 and SVR9L1 in *Arabidopsis* chloroplasts, are indispensable for chloroplast and
plant development. Previous studies have indicated that chloroplast translation initiation
factor IF2 activities are also essential for plant development (Miura et al., 2007). These data
suggest that the proper initiation of translation in the chloroplast is essential for chloroplast
biogenesis.

403

404 The suppression of *var2* leaf variegation by SVR9 and SVR9L1 mutations

405 Previously we have proposed a threshold model to explain the mechanisms of leaf 406 variegation mediated by VAR2/AtFtsH2 (Yu et al., 2004; 2005). The core of our model is that the development of functional chloroplasts requires a threshold level of thylakoid FtsH 407 408 complexes/activities. Moreover, we envisioned that this threshold would be dynamic, and 409 changing functional or developmental status of the chloroplast may necessitate different 410 thresholds. Based on the model, we should be able to identify functional or developmental 411 processes that, when disrupted, may modify the threshold and the need for FtsH activities. To 412 this end, we carried out var2 genetic suppressor screens. In these genetic suppressors of var2, 413 we propose that the suppressor mutations lead to disturbed functional or developmental 414 chloroplast states that lowers the endogenous threshold for VAR2/AtFtsH2. Through both our 415 work with the SUPPRESSOR OF VARIEGATION loci and work from other groups, a 416 definitive link between the threshold and some aspects of chloroplast translation has been 417 established (Miura et al., 2007; Yu et al., 2008; Liu et al., 2010b; 2010c; 2013). However, a 418 key aspect of the threshold model, i.e. a multi-point correlation of the changing functional or 419 developmental states of the chloroplast with the corresponding changing needs for FtsH 420 activities, remained hypothetical. In this study, through multiple mutant alleles and mutant 421 combinations of SVR9 and SVR9L1, we were able to create a series of chloroplast 422 developmental states and explore this important aspect of the threshold hypothesis. In wild 423 type background where chloroplast IF3s are at full capacity, VAR2/AtFtsH2 activities are 424 necessary for chloroplast development and the lack of VAR2/AtFtsH2 causes leaf variegation. 425 The svr9l1-1 mutation slightly reduces IF3 activities, but functional VAR2/AtFtsH2 is still 426 required and leaf variegation is not suppressed. However, in svr9-2, the reduction of IF3 427 activities is greater than in svr911-1. The state generated by svr9-2 may lower the threshold 428 need for VAR2/AtFtsH2 and leaf variegation reduces. IF3 activities are further reduced in 429 svr911-1 svr9-2 double mutants, and the mutant background of svr911-1 sv9-2 generated a 430 state that the need for VAR2/AtFtsH2 activities is no longer necessary for chloroplast 431 development, thus a full suppression of leaf variegation. When IF3 activities is further 432 reduced in svr9-1 and svr9-1 svr9l1-1/+ mutants, the lack of IF3 activities itself starts to 433 impair chloroplast development and mutant plants display a clear visual phenotype, and this

434 functional state bypass the need for VAR2/AtFtsH2, as observed in our previous *var2*

435 suppressor mutants (Liu et al., 2010a; Putarjunan et al., 2013).

- 436 Several messages can be gathered from these data. First, our genetic data indicate that 437 full wild type level of IF3 activities are not necessary for chloroplast development under lab 438 conditions, as svr911-1, svr9-2, and svr911-1 svr9-2 resembled wild type. However, a key 439 message from our data is that a superficial wild type appearance does not necessarily mean a 440 lack of phenotypes at molecular level. In this sense, genetic suppressor screens can be a very 441 sensitive and powerful approach to uncover subtle molecular alterations in mutants. Second, 442 the different mutants and mutant combinations in our study generated different chloroplast 443 states where VAR2/AtFtsH2 functions may be partially or completely bypassed, supporting 444 our previous hypothesis that the threshold for FtsH activities can vary.
- 445

446 The interaction between chloroplast and leaf development

447 The chloroplast is the site for photosynthesis, as well as the venue for the synthesis of 448 numerous metabolic and regulatory molecules such as phytohormones, and the proper 449 functioning of the chloroplast is essential for plant growth and development. It is thus not 450 surprising that the functional or developmental states of the chloroplast can impact processes 451 outside of the chloroplast. Previous studies have established multiple regulatory pathways that 452 coordinate the states of the chloroplast with gene expressions in the nucleus. In addition, it is 453 widely known that plant leaf development is also linked with the states of the chloroplast. 454 However, we lack detailed information regarding the relationship between leaf development 455 and the chloroplast.

456 In this study, we uncovered a range of leaf developmental phenotypes in SVR9 and 457 SVR9L1 mutants that may be linked with altered auxin homeostasis and auxin regulated 458 pathways. First, we discovered that the cotyledon venation patterns were abnormal in svr9-1 459 and svr9-1 svr9l1-1/+ mutants. Overall, we observed that mutant cotyledons showed a trend 460 of reduced complexity of vascular development as indicated by the numbers of areoles (Fig. 461 7F; Table 1). It is generally believed that auxin is a central regulator of leaf vascular 462 development, thus the venation pattern changes in the mutants may reflect an altered auxin 463 homeostasis (Sieburth, 1999; Cheng et al., 2007). Second, we observed that leaf margins are 464 more serrated in svr9-1 and svr9-1 svr9l1-1/+ mutants than those of the wild type (Fig. 7A-465 7D). The induction of leaf serrations are dictated by a feedback loop of auxin maxima 466 established by PIN1 convergence points and CUC2 activities (Bilsborough et al., 2011). The 467 expression of CUC2 promotes the formation of PIN1 convergence points and in turn the 468 auxin maxima along the leaf margin where CUC2 expressions are then repressed by auxin 469 (Bilsborough et al., 2011). This feedback regulation of CUC2, PIN1 and auxin level 470 eventually leads to the alternate presence of CUC2 expressions at leaf indentations and auxin 471 maxima at leaf protrusions (Bilsborough et al., 2011; Byrne, 2012). Thirdly, we observed 472 alterations in auxin homeostasis in svr9-1 and svr9-1 svr9l1-1/+ mutants as indicated by the 473 changes in DR5:GUS expression patterns (Fig. 8A-8C). Changes in auxin homeostasis can 474 also be observed in plants treated with chloroplast translation inhibitors (Fig. 8D-8F). 475 Treatments with auxin transport inhibitors can alter the leaf margin phenotypes of svr9-1 and 476 svr9-1 svr9l1-1/+ mutants (Fig. 9). These data suggest that auxin may play an important role 477 in leaf developmental processes regulated by chloroplast IF3s. Surprisingly, we did not 478 observe altered leaf margins in svr8-2, a null mutant of the chloroplast ribosomal protein L24 479 (Supplemental Fig. S6; Liu et al., 2013). It is possible that when individual components of the 480 chloroplast translation system were mutated, chloroplast translation is affected in such a 481 manner that may or may not trigger the abnormal development of leaf margins. This raises the 482 interesting possibility that specific aspects of chloroplast translation are associated with leaf 483 margin development. Last but not least, our genetic data support the notion that chloroplast 484 IF3s SVR9 and SVR9L1 regulate leaf margin development at least partially via the CUC2-485 mediated pathway since a lack of CUC2 greatly hampered but not completely abolished the 486 manifestation of leaf margin phenotypes of svr9-1 and svr9-1 svr9l1-1/+ (Fig. 10). The 487 genetic interaction results further suggest that there may be other factors involved in the 488 output of the retrograde signals from the svr9-1 svr9l1-1/+ mutant chloroplasts (Fig. 10). 489 Based on our data, it is clear that certain functional states of the chloroplast, as generated by 490 the lack of SVR9 and SVR9L1, are able to regulate leaf development. It is possible that these 491 states of the chloroplast are capable of altering the auxin homeostasis, which in turn regulates 492 CUC2 activities. It is also possible that these states influence auxin and CUC2 separately. 493 Although the precise mechanisms remain to be elucidated, it is tempting to speculate that 494 these functional states may generate retrograde signals that coordinate the states of the 495 chloroplast with leaf development.

496

497 Conclusions

498 Taken together, our data indicate that chloroplast translation initiation factor IF3 499 activities are essential for plant and chloroplast development. Mutations in the chloroplast 500 translation initiation factor IF3s can suppress the leaf variegation phenotype of *var2* mutants. 501 Moreover, our data indicate that the functional or developmental states of the chloroplast can 502 regulate leaf development, particularly the processes that are associated with auxin. Currently 503 we do not know whether this regulation involves the known retrograde signaling pathways or 504 it represents a new route of signaling, and future research will undoubtedly expand our 505 understanding of the intricate relationship between the chloroplast and the rest of the plant 506 cell.

508	Materials and methods
509	Plant materials and growth conditions
510	All Arabidopsis thaliana strains used in this study are of the Columbia-0 background.
511	svr9-1 was isolated in this study. The T-DNA insertion lines SAIL_172_F02 (svr9-2),
512	SALK_140431C (svr911-1), SALK_035713 (cuc2-101) and cuc2-1D were obtained from the
513	Arabidopsis Biological Resource Center (ABRC); svr4-2 and svr8-2 have been described (Yu
514	et al., 2011; Liu et al., 2013). Seeds were sown on commercial soil mix (Pindstrup, Denmark)
515	or on half strength Murashige and Skoog (1/2 MS) medium supplemented with 1% sucrose,
516	and stratified for two days at 4°C before placed in growth rooms. When solid medium was
517	needed, 0.8% agar (w/v) was added to the $1/2$ MS medium. Arabidopsis plants were
518	maintained at ~22 °C under continuous illumination (~100 μ mol m ⁻² s ⁻¹).
519	
520	Chlorophyll content measurements
521	Fresh plant tissues were harvested, weighed, and finely ground in liquid N2. Total
522	chlorophyll was extracted with 95% ethanol. Chlorophyll contents were determined as
523	described (Lichtenthaler, 1987). Chlorophyll measurements of different samples were
524	normalized on a fresh tissue weight basis. Each sample consisted of leaf tissues pooled from 2
525	to 4 individual plants. Mean chlorophyll content of leaf tissues of each genotype was
526	calculated from three independent pooled leaf samples. Differences in chlorophyll content
527	between WT and mutants were evaluated with p values generated by t-test.
528	
529	Map-based cloning
530	The SVR9 locus was initially mapped by the bulked segregation analysis using a pool of
531	95 F2 mutant seedlings from a cross between svr9-1 and Landsberg erecta (Lukowitz et al.,
532	2000). A mapping population consisting of 570 F2 mutant plants was used to further fine map
533	the svr9-1 mutation. Primers of the molecular markers generated in this study were listed in

534 Supplemental Table S2. Primers used to amplify various At2g24060 genomic fragments were 535 listed in Supplemental Table S2.

536

537 Semi-quantitative RT-PCR analysis

- 538 Total cellular RNAs were purified using Trizol RNA reagent (Life Technologies, CA,
- 539 USA) following the manufacturer's instructions and stored at -80 °C. For semi-quantitative
- 540 RT-PCR analysis, first strand cDNAs were synthesized from 1 µg DNase I treated total RNAs
- 541 using a PrimeScript reverse transcription kit (Takara, Japan). The gene-specific primers were
- 542 listed in Supplementary Table S2.
- 543

544 Functional Complementation of svr9-1

545 All primers used in vector construction were listed in Supplementary Table S2. To 546 complement svr9-1, full-length cDNAs of SVR9/At2g24060 and SVR9L1/At4g30690 were 547 amplified using primers 24060F and 24060R, and 30690F and 30690R, respectively. The 548 amplified fragments were cloned into pBluescript KS+ (pBS) and sequenced before 549 subcloned into a binary vector pBI111L (Yu et al., 2004). The resulting constructs were 550 named *P*₃₅₅:*At2g24060* and *P*₃₅₅:*At4g30690*, respectively. 551 To express E. coli IF3 infC in Arabidopsis, the coding sequence of infC was amplified 552 from E. coli genomic DNA using primers E. coli IF3-F and E. coli IF3-R and cloned into 553 pBS. The coding sequence of the chloroplast transit peptide (cTP) region of SVR9 (1-71 aa of 554 SVR9) was amplified with cTPF and cTPR and cloned into pBS. After sequencing pBS-infC 555 and pBS-SVR9cTP, the *infC* and *SVR9cTP* were sequentially subcloned into pBI111L to have 556 SVR9cTP fused in frame at N-terminal of infC. The resulting construct was named 557 $P_{35S}: cTP_{SVR9}$ -infC. 558 Each of the binary vectors was transformed into Agrobacterium tumefaciens by 559 electroporation, and the floral dip method was used for Arabidopsis transformation (Clough 560 and Bent, 1998). T1 transgenic lines were screened on solid 1/2 MS medium containing 50 $mg \cdot L^{-1}$ kanamycin. 561 562 563 Protoplast transient expression assays To transiently express C-terminal GFP fusion proteins SVR9cTP-GFP, SVR9-GFP and 564 565 SVR9L1-GFP in leaf protoplasts, the coding sequences of SVR9cTP, SVR9 and SVR9L1 566 were cloned into pTF486 to fuse in frame with GFP coding sequences (Yu et al., 2008). Fresh 567 prepared wild type Arabidopsis mesophyll protoplasts were transformed with each of the 568 transient expression vectors following the procedures described in Yoo et al. (2007). After 569 transformation, protoplasts were incubated overnight and examined with confocal microcopy 570 using the Apo TIRF 60x Oil objective (N.A. 1.49) (Nikon A1). GFP and chlorophyll 571 autofluorescence signals were monitored through 525/50 and 700/75 emission filters, 572 respectively. 573 574 Histochemical GUS staining 575 To generate SVR9 promoter- β -glucuronidase (GUS) transcriptional fusion construct, a

576 1913 bp genomic DNA fragment upstream of the start codon of SVR9 gene was amplified

- 577 using primers 24060PF-XbaI2 and 24060PR-BamHI2 and cloned into pCB308 (Xiang et
- 578 *al.*,1999). The resulting construct was used to transform wild type *Arabidopsis* plant.
- 579 P_{SVR9} : GUS lines were screened based on Basta resistance. GUS activities were assayed in T1
- 580 and T2 generations (Jefferson, 1987).

To test the impact of *svr9-1* and *svr9l1-1* mutations on *DR:GUS* expression patterns, *DR5:GUS* reporter gene was introduced to *svr9-1* and *svr9-1svr9l1-1/+* via genetic crossing.
DR5:GUS activities in different genetic backgrounds were assayed in 6-day-old seedlings.

584

585 Quantification of leaf variegation

586 The first true leaves of two-week-old Arabidopsis seedlings were hand cut and mounted 587 in water. Images of each individual leaf were captured using a stereoscope (Nikon, SMZ25) 588 equipped with a CCD camera (Nikon, DS-U3). All leaves were photographed with the same 589 settings. To quantify the extent of variegation, leaf images were first converted to grayscale 590 using the ImageJ software. Pixels constituted the leaf blade were selected and intensity values 591 of these pixels were obtained using the NIS-Elements software (Nikon). Frequency was 592 calculated as number of pixels of each intensity value (ranging from 0-255)/number of pixels 593 constituting the leaf blade area. Frequency distributions of pixel intensity values of each leaf 594 image were generated using the GraphPad Prism software. The quantification of leaf 595 variegation was repeated three times. Similar patterns of frequency distributions of pixel 596 intensity values were obtained for each repeat.

597

598 Leaf margin analysis

599 Water mounted individual leaves were photographed using a stereoscope (Nikon, 600 SMZ25) equipped with a CCD camera (Nikon, DS-U3). Three parameters that were 601 commonly used in leaf margin analysis including: the leaf dissection index 602 (perimeter²/ 4π ×leaf area), the number of teeth/leaf perimeter, and the tooth area/leaf area, 603 were used in this study to quantify the differences in leaf margin (Royer et al., 2008; 604 Bilsborough et al., 2011). Leaf blade region (excluding leaf petiole) was used in quantitative 605 analyses. Leaf area and perimeter measurements were performed using the ImageJ software. 606 Graphs were generated by the GraphPad Prism software. Differences between means of each 607 leaf shape parameter were evaluated by p values generated by t-test. 608 609 Analysis of leaf cross sections and cotyledon vein patterns 610 Basal parts of the first of true leaf of 10-day-old wild type, svr9-1 and svr9-1 svr9l1-1/+ 611 were hand cut and infiltrated with fixation solution (4% (v/v) glutaraldehyde in 0.1 mM 612 sodium phosphate buffer, pH6.8). Fixed tissues were dehydrated and embedded in Technovit 613 7100 resin (EMS, PA, USA). Semi-thin sections (10 μ m) prepared with a microtome 614 (RM2265, Leica) were stained with 1% (v/v) toluidine blue O and observed with a Leica 615 DM5000B microscope equipped with a DFC425C CCD camera. 616 To observe cotyledon veins, cotyledons of 10-day-old plants were hand cut and 617 decolored in 70% ethanol until the veins became clearly visible. Decolored cotyledons were

then photographed with a digital camera mounted on a stereo microscope. Cotyledon vein

619 patterns were categorized based on the number of areoles formed (Sieburth, 1999)

620

621 Chemical treatments

- 622 All chemicals used in this study were purchased from Sigma unless otherwise specified. 623 1-N-naphthylphthalamic acid (NPA) and 2,3,5-Triiodobenzoic acid (TIBA) were dissolved in 624 dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO). Wild type, svr9-1 and svr9-1 svr9l1-1/+ seeds were germinated 625 and grown on solid 1/2 MS medium supplemented with 1 μ M NPA or 1 μ M TIBA. Plants 626 grown on solid 1/2 MS medium with equal concentration of DMSO served as controls. Images of the third true leaves in 2-week-old plants were examined. 627 628 To test DR5:GUS expression in response to chloroplast translation inhibitors, DR5:GUS 629 lines of different genetic backgrounds were germinated and grown on liquid 1/2 MS medium 630 for 6 days before adding chloramphenicol or spectinomycin to a final concentration of 5 mM. 631 Equal amounts of ethanol were added as the mock treatment. After 24 hours of treatment, 632 seedlings were harvested and assayed for GUS activities. Plant liquid cultures were shaken at 633 120 rpm to keep the seedlings floating. 634 635 Accession numbers 636 Sequence data from this article can be found in the The Arabidopsis Information Resource
- 637 (TAIR) or GenBank/EMBL databases under the following accession numbers: SVR9,
- 638 At2g24060; SVR9L1, At4g30690; VAR2/AtFtsH2, At2g30950; CUC2, At5g53950, E. coli
- 639 infC, NP_416233.1.
- 640

641 Supplemental data

- 642 Supplemental Table S1. Comparison of cotyledon vein patterns of wild type and *svr4-2*.
- 643 Supplemental Table S2. Primers used in this study.
- 644 Supplemental Figure S1. Protein sequence alignments of SVR9/At2g24060,
- 645 SVR9L1/At4g30690, At1g34360 and *E. coli* infC.
- 646 **Supplemental Figure S2.** Chloroplast localization of cTP_{SVR9}-GFP.
- 647 Supplemental Figure S3. Phylogenetic analysis of prokaryotic IF3-like proteins from E. coli
- 648 and representative photosynthetic species.
- 649 **Supplemental Figure S4.** Identification of *svr9-2* and *svr9l1-1*.
- 650 Supplemental Figure S5. Whole plant phenotypes of representative 2-week-old plants of the
- same genotypes shown in Fig. 5A-5D.
- 652 Supplemental Figure S6. Comparison of leaf margin development in WT, *svr9-1* and *svr8-2*.
- 653 Supplemental Figure S7. Whole plant phenotypes of representative 2-week-old plants of the
- same genotypes shown in Fig. 10A.

655 Figure legends

656 Figure 1. Suppression of var2 leaf variegation by svr9-1. A, Representative 15-day-old wild type (WT), var2-5, 092-004 (var2-5 svr9-1), and svr9-1 plants. C, Representative 15-day-old 657 658 WT, var2-4, svr9-1, and var2-4 svr9-1 double mutant. B and D, Chlorophyll contents of 659 indicated tissues in plants shown in A and C, respectively. Total: entire rosettes excluding the 660 cotyledons; Peripheral: the first two true leaves; Center: rosettes excluding the cotyledons and 661 the first two true leaves. Chlorophyll measurements were normalized on a fresh tissue weight 662 basis. Data were presented as mean \pm standard deviation (s.d.) of three biological replicates. 663 *: 0.01<*p*<0.05; **: *p*<0.01.

664

665 Figure 2. Cloning of SVR9. A, Schematic representation of the positional cloning procedure 666 used to identify svr9-1 mutation. Primers of the molecular markers designed in this study 667 were listed in Supplemental Table S2. A total of 570 F2 plants (1140 chromosomes) were 668 used in fine mapping. Numbers of recombinants were marked under each molecular marker. 669 The asterisk indicated the position of SVR9 (At2g24060). In the gene model, boxes represent 670 exons and lines represent introns. 5' and 3' untranslated regions (UTRs) were shaded. Arrows 671 represent the positions of the primers used in B and C. B, PCR analyses using various primer 672 combinations indicated that At2g24060 genomic regions were disrupted in svr9-1. C, Semi-673 quantitative RT-PCR analyses of the accumulations of SVR9 transcripts in wild type and svr9-674 I using indicated primer combinations. Expression of ACT2 was used as an internal control. 675

- **Figure 3.** Complementation of *svr9-1* and *092-004*. A, Leaf color phenotypes of two-week-
- old WT, svr9-1, var2-5, 092-004 and representative lines overexpressing At2g24060 in svr9-1
- or 092-004 background. B, Leaf color phenotypes of two-week-old WT, svr9-1, var2-5, 092-
- 679 004 and representative lines overexpressing CTP_{SVR9}-infC in *svr9-1* or 092-004 background.
- 680

681 **Figure 4.** SVR9 and SVR9-like genes in Arabidopsis. A, Gene models of three Arabidopsis

- 682 genes coding for putative prokaryotic type IF3 proteins. Gene models were drawn as in Fig.
- 683 2A. Numbers of nucleotides of each exon excluding the lengths of UTRs were labeled on top
- 684 of each exon. B, Transient expression of SVR9-GFP, SVR9L1-GFP or GFP alone in wild type
- 685 Arabidopsis leaf protoplasts. A single representative protoplast was shown for each
- transformation. Merged images from GFP and chlorophyll channels were shown in the
- 687 "Merge" lane. BF, bright field. Bars, 10 μm. C-D, Tissue expression patterns of SVR9.
- 688 Illustrated are GUS staining of 6-day-old (C) and 2-week-old (D) transgenic plants expressing
- 689 the transcriptional fusion of P_{SVR9} : GUS.
- 690

- 691 **Figure 5.** Genetic interactions between *var2*, *svr9-2* and *svr9l1-1*. A-D, The first true leaves
- 692 of representative 2-week-old WT, var2-5 and var2-4 (A), svr9l1-1, svr9-2 and svr9l1-1 svr9-2
- 693 double mutant (B), svr911-1 var2-5 double mutant, svr9-2 var2-5 double mutant, and svr911-1
- 694 svr9-2 var2-5 triple mutant (C), svr9l1-1 var2-4 double mutant, svr9-2 var2-4 double mutant
- 695 and *svr9l1-1 svr9-2 var2-4* triple mutant (D). E-H, Quantitative comparison of leaf
- variegation based on the frequency distributions of the pixel intensity values of leaf images
- 697 shown in A-D.
- 698
- 699 **Figure 6.** Functional redundancy of *SVR9* and *SVR9L1*. A, Overall phenotypes of 2-week-old
- 700 WT, *svr911-1*, *svr9-1* and *svr9-1 svr911-1/+*. B, Seed settings of WT and *svr9-1 svr911-1/+*.
- 701 Developing siliques of WT and svr9-1 svr9l1-1/+ at the same stage were dissected and
- 702 photographed with a stereoscope. White arrows indicated the abolished embryos in svr9-1
- *svr9l1-1/+* silique. Bars, 2.5 mm. C, Overall phenotypes of 2-week-old WT, *svr9-1* and a
- representative line overexpressing SVR9L1 in the svr9-1 background.
- 705
- **Figure 7.** Leaf development phenotypes in *svr9-1*, *svr9l1-1* and *svr9-1*, *svr9l1-1*/+ mutants. A,
- 707 Photographs of the third true leaves of representative 2-week-old WT, svr911-1, svr9-1 and
- *svr9-1 svr9l1-1/+*. Bars, 1 mm. B-D, Quantitative comparisons of leaf shapes in WT, *svr9l1-1*,
- *svr9-1* and *svr9-1* svr9l1-1/+ based on the leaf dissection index (perimeter²/ 4π ×leaf area) (B),
- 710 the number of teeth/leaf perimeter (C), and the tooth area/leaf area (D). All measurements
- 711 were performed on the third true leaves of 2-week-old plants. 10 leaves of each genotype
- 712 were included in the statistical analysis. Data were presented as mean \pm s.d. ***: p < 0.001. E,
- 713 Cross sections of the basal part of the first true leaves of 10-day-old WT, svr9-1 and svr9-1
- 714 svr9l1-1/+. Bars, 50 μm. F, Common cotyledon vein patterns observed in WT, svr9-1 and
- 715 *svr9-1 svr9l1-1/*+.
- 716
- 717 Figure 8. Repression of chloroplast translation alters DR5: GUS expression pattern. Images of
- three representative cotyledons were shown for each genotype or treatment. A-C, Comparison
- 719 of *DR5:GUS* expression patterns in WT, *svr9-1* and *svr9-1 svr9l1-1*/+ backgrounds.
- 720 DR5:GUS activities were assayed in cotyledons of 6-day-old seedlings grown on solid 1/2
- 721 MS medium. D-F, Effects of chloroplast translation inhibitors on DR5:GUS expression. 6-
- 722 day-old wild type background DR5: GUS seedlings maintained in liquid 1/2 MS culture were
- 723 treated with 5 mM chloramphenicol, 5 mM spectinomycin or equal amounts of ethanol (mock
- treatment) for 24 hours before assaying *DR5:GUS* activities. Bars, 1 mm.
- 725
- 726 Figure 9. Effects of auxin transport inhibitors on leaf margin development. A-B, WT, svr9-1
- and svr9-1 svr9l1-1/+ were grown on solid 1/2 MS medium supplemented with 1 µM TIBA

- (A), 1 µM NPA (B) or equal amounts of DMSO (mock treatment) for 14 days. The third true
- 129 leaves from representative plants of each genotype were illustrated. Bars, 1 mm. C-D,
- 730 Quantification of the effects of TIBA (C) and NPA (D) on leaf shape by leaf dissection index
- 731 measurements. Data were presented as mean \pm s.d. **:0.001 $\leq p \leq 0.01$; ***: $p \leq 0.001$.
- 732
- **Figure 10.** Genetic interactions between *cuc2* mutants and *svr9-1*, *svr911-1* mutants. A,
- 734 Photographs of the third true leaves in representative 2-week-old WT, *cuc2-101*, *cuc2-1D*,
- 735 svr9-1, cuc2-101 svr9-1, cuc2-1D svr9-1, svr9-1 svr911-1/+, cuc2-101 svr9-1 svr911-1/+, and
- 736 cuc2-1D svr9-1 svr9l1-1/+. B-D, Quantitative comparisons of leaf margins of indicated
- 737 genotypes. Leaf margin quantifications were based on the leaf dissection index (B), the
- number of teeth/leaf perimeter (C), and the tooth area/leaf area (D). All leaf shape parameters
- 739 were obtained as in Fig. 7. Data were presented as mean \pm s.d. ***: p < 0.001.
- 740

742 Tables

743 **Table 1.** Quantification of cotyledon vein patterns in wild type, *svr9-1* and *svr9-1 svr9l1-1/+*.

	Total*	Cotyledon vein patterns					
Genotype		Zero Areole	One Areole	Two Areoles	Three Areoles	Four Areoles	Five Areoles
WT	328	N.A.	N.A.	134 (40.8%)	135 (41.2%)	59 (18%)	N.A.
svr9-1	429	N.A.	13 (3%)	164 (38.2%)	183 (42.7%)	68 (15.9%)	1 (0.2%)
svr9-1 svr9l1-1/+	317	3 (1%)	67 (21.1%)	177 (55.8%)	69 (21.8%)	1 (0.3%)	N.A.

744 Percentages of different types of areoles were indicated in the parentheses.

745 *Total number of cotyledons examined.

746

748	Literature cited
749	Aluru MR, Bae H, Wu D, Rodermel SR (2001) The Arabidopsis immutans mutation affects
750	plastid differentiation and the morphogenesis of white and green sectors in variegated
751	plants. Plant Physiol 127: 67-77
752	Bilsborough GD, Runions A, Barkoulas M, Jenkins HW, Hasson A, Galinha C, Laufs P, Hay
753	A, Prusinkiewicz P, Tsiantis M (2011) Model for the regulation of Arabidopsis thaliana
754	leaf margin development. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 108: 3424-3429
755	Briggs GC, Osmont KS, Shindo C, Sibout R, Hardtke CS (2006) Unequal genetic
756	redundancies in Arabidopsisa neglected phenomenon? Trends Plant Sci 11: 492-498
757	Byrne ME (2012) Making leaves. Curr Opin Plant Biol 15: 24-30
758	Cheng Y, Dai X, Zhao Y (2007) Auxin synthesized by the YUCCA flavin monooxygenases is
759	essential for embryogenesis and leaf formation in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 19: 2430-2439
760	Chi W, Sun X, Zhang L (2013) Intracellular signaling from plastid to nucleus. Annu Rev Plant
761	Biol 64: 559-582
762	Clough SJ, Bent AF (1998). Floral dip: A simplified method for Agrobacterium-mediated
763	transformation of Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant J 16: 735-743
764	Dyall SD, Brown MT, Johnson PJ (2004) Ancient invasions: from endosymbionts to
765	organelles. Science 304: 253-257
766	Fleischmann TT, Scharff LB, Alkatib S, Hasdorf S, Schöttler MA, Bock R (2011)
767	Nonessential plastid-encoded ribosomal proteins in tobacco: a developmental role for
768	plastid translation and implications for reductive genome evolution. Plant Cell 23: 3137-
769	3155
770	Galvez-Valdivieso G, Mullineaux PM (2010) The role of reactive oxygen species in signalling
771	from chloroplasts to the nucleus. Physiol Plant 138: 430-39
772	Gray JC, Sullivan JA, Wang JH, Jerome CA, MacLean D (2003) Coordination of plastid and
773	nuclear gene expression. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B 358: 135-44
774	Hricová A, Quesada V, Micol JL (2006) The SCABRA3 nuclear gene encodes the plastid
775	RpoTp RNA polymerase, which is required for chloroplast biogenesis and mesophyll
776	cell proliferation in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol 141: 942-956
777	Jefferson RA (1987) Assaying chimeric genes in plants: the GUS gene fusion system. Plant
778	Mol Biol Rep 5: 387-405
779	Jensen PE, Leister D (2014) Chloroplast evolution, structure and functions. F1000Prime Rep
780	6: 40
781	Josse EM, Simkin AJ, Gaffé J, Labouré AM, Kuntz M, Carol P (2000) A plastid terminal
782	oxidase associated with carotenoid desaturation during chromoplast differentiation. Plant
783	Physiol 123: 1427-1436

784	Kawamura E1, Horiguchi G, Tsukaya H. (2010) Mechanisms of leaf tooth formation in
785	Arabidopsis. Plant J 62:429-441
786	Kindgren P, Kremnev D, Blanco NE, de Dios Barajas López J, Fernández AP, Tellgren-Roth
787	C, Kleine T, Small I, Strand A (2012) The plastid redox insensitive 2 mutant of
788	Arabidopsis is impaired in PEP activity and high light-dependent plastid redox signalling
789	to the nucleus. Plant J 70: 279-291
790	Koussevitzky S, Nott A, Mockler TC, Hong F, Sachetto-Martins G, Surpin M, Lim J, Mittler
791	R, Chory J (2007) Signals from chloroplasts converge to regulate nuclear gene
792	expression. Science 316: 715-719
793	Larue CT, Wen J, Walker JC (2009) A microRNA-transcription factor module regulates lateral
794	organ size and patterning in Arabidopsis. Plant J 58: 450-463
795	Lee KP, Kim C, Landgraf F, Apel K (2007) EXECUTER1- and EXECUTER2-dependent
796	transfer of stress-related signals from the plastid to the nucleus of Arabidopsis thaliana.
797	Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 104: 10270-10275
798	Leister D (2003) Chloroplast research in the genomic age. Trends Genet 19: 47-56
799	Leister D (2012) Retrograde signaling in plants: from simple to complex scenarios. Front
800	Plant Sci 3: 135
801	Lichtenthaler HK (1987) Chlorophylls, carotenoids: pigments of photosynthetic
802	biomembranes. Methods Enzymol 148: 350-382
803	Liu X, Yu F, Rodermel S (2010a) Arabidopsis chloroplast FtsH, var2 and suppressors of var2
804	leaf variegation: a review. J Integr Plant Biol 52: 750-761
805	Liu X, Yu F, Rodermel S (2010b) An Arabidopsis pentatricopeptide repeat protein,
806	SUPPRESSOR OF VARIEGATION7, is required for FtsH-mediated chloroplast
807	biogenesis. Plant Physiol 154: 1588-1601
808	Liu X, Rodermel SR, Yu F (2010c) A var2 leaf variegation suppressor locus, SUPPRESSOR
809	OF VARIEGATION3, encodes a putative chloroplast translation elongation factor that is
810	important for chloroplast development in the cold. BMC Plant Biol 10: 287
811	Liu X, Zheng M, Wang R, Wang R, An L, Rodermel SR, Yu F (2013) Genetic interactions
812	reveal that specific defects of chloroplast translation are associated with the suppression
813	of var2-mediated leaf variegation. J Integr Plant Biol 55: 979-993
814	Lomakin IB, Shirokikh NE, Yusupov MM, Hellen CU, Pestova TV (2006) The fidelity of
815	translation initiation: reciprocal activities of eIF1, IF3 and YciH. EMBO J 25: 196-210
816	Lukowitz W, Gillmor CS, Scheible WR (2000) Positional cloning in Arabidopsis: why it feels
817	good to have a genome initiative working for you. Plant Physiol 123: 795-805
818	Mandel MA, Feldmann KA, Herrera-Estrella L, Rocha-Sosa M, León P (1996) CLA1, a novel
819	gene required for chloroplast development, is highly conserved in evolution. Plant J 9:
820	649-658

821	Milon P, Konevega AL, Gualerzi CO, Rodnina MV (2008) Kinetic checkpoint at a late step in
822	translation initiation. Mol Cell 30: 712-720
823	Miura E, Kato Y, Matsushima R, Albrecht V, Laalami S, Sakamoto W (2007) The balance
824	between protein synthesis and degradation in chloroplasts determines leaf variegation in
825	Arabidopsis yellow variegated mutants. Plant Cell 19: 1313-1328
826	Mochizuki N, Tanaka R, Tanaka A, Masuda T, Nagatani A (2008) The steady-state level of
827	Mg-protoporphyrin IX is not a determinant of plastid-to-nucleus signaling in
828	Arabidopsis. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 105: 15184-15189
829	Moulin M, McCormac AC, Terry MJ, Smith AG (2008) Tetrapyrrole profiling in Arabidopsis
830	seedlings reveals that retrograde plastid nuclear signaling is not due to Mg-
831	protoporphyrin IX accumulation. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 105: 15178-15183
832	Nesbit AD, Whippo C, Hangarter RP, Kehoe DM (2015) Translation initiation factor 3
833	families: what are their roles in regulating cyanobacterial and chloroplast gene
834	expression? Photosynth Res 126: 147-159
835	Nikovics K, Blein T, Peaucelle A, Ishida T, Morin H, Aida M, Laufs P (2006) The balance
836	between the MIR164A and CUC2 genes controls leaf margin serration in Arabidopsis.
837	Plant Cell 18: 2929-2945
838	Nott A, Jung HS, Koussevitzky S, Chory J (2006) Plastid-to-nucleus retrograde signaling.
839	Annu Rev Plant Biol 57: 739-759
840	Pesaresi P, Hertle A, Pribil M, Kleine T, Wagner R, Strissel H, Ihnatowicz A, Bonardi V,
841	Scharfenberg M, Schneider A, Pfannschmidt T, Leister D (2009) Arabidopsis STN7
842	kinase provides a link between short- and long-term photosynthetic acclimation. Plant
843	Cell 21: 2402-2423
844	Pesaresi P, Masiero S, Eubel H, Braun HP, Bhushan S, Glaser E, Salamini F, Leister D (2006)
845	Nuclear photosynthetic gene expression is synergistically modulated by rates of protein
846	synthesis in chloroplasts and mitochondria. Plant Cell 18: 970-991
847	Putarjunan A, Liu X, Nolan T, Yu F, Rodermel S (2013) Understanding chloroplast biogenesis
848	using second-site suppressors of immutans and var2. Photosynth Res 116: 437-453
849	Qi Y, Zhao J, An R, Zhang J, Liang S, Shao J, Liu X, An L, Yu F (2015) Mutations in
850	circularly permuted GTPase family genes AtNOA1/RIF1/SVR10 and BPG2 suppress
851	var2-mediated leaf variegation in Arabidopsis thaliana. Photosynth Res DOI:
852	10.1007/s11120-015-0195-9
853	Ramel F, Birtic S, Ginies C, Soubigou-Taconnat L, Triantaphylidès C, Havaux M (2012)
854	Carotenoid oxidation products are stress signals that mediate gene responses to single to
855	oxygen in plants. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 109: 5535-5540
856	Reiter RS, Coomber SA, Bourett TM, Bartley GE, Scolnik PA (1994) Control of leaf and
857	chloroplast development by the Arabidopsis gene pale cress. Plant Cell 6: 1253-1264

858	Royer DL, McElwain JC, Adams JM, Wilf P (2008) Sensitivity of leaf size and shape to
859	climate within Acer rubrum and Quercus kelloggii. New Phytol 179: 808-817
860	Sacerdot C, Fayat G, Dessen P, Springer M, Plumbridge JA, Grunberg-Manago M, Blanquet S
861	(1982) Sequence of a 1.26-kb DNA fragment containing the structural gene for E.coli
862	initiation factor IF3: presence of an AUU initiator codon. EMBO J 1: 311-315
863	Saini G, Meskauskiene R, Pijacka W, Roszak P, Sjögren LL, Clarke AK, Straus M, Apel K
864	(2011) 'happy on norflurazon' (hon) mutations implicate perturbance of plastid
865	homeostasis with activating stress acclimatization and changing nuclear gene expression
866	in norflurazon-treated seedlings. Plant J 65: 690-702
867	Scarpella E, Marcos D, Friml J, Berleth T (2006) Control of leaf vascular patterning by polar
868	auxin transport. Genes Dev 20: 1012-1027
869	Sieburth LE (1999) Auxin is required for leaf vein pattern in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol 121:
870	1179-1190
871	Sun X, Feng P, Xu X, Guo H, Ma J, Chi W, Lin R, Lu C, Zhang L (2011) A chloroplast
872	envelope-bound PHD transcription factor mediates chloroplast signals to the nucleus.
873	Nat Commun 2: 477
874	Susek RE, Ausubel FM, Chory J (1993) Signal transduction mutants of Arabidopsis uncouple
875	nuclear CAB and RBCS gene expression from chloroplast development. Cell 74: 787-799
876	Tiller N, Bock R (2014) The translational apparatus of plastids and its role in plant
877	development. Mol Plant 7: 1105-1120
878	Ulmasov T, Murfett J, Hagen G, Guilfoyle TJ (1997) Aux/IAA proteins repress expression of
879	reporter genes containing natural and highly active synthetic auxin response elements.
880	Plant Cell 9: 1963-1971
881	Wagner D, Przybyla D, Op den Camp R, Kim C, Landgraf F, Lee KP, Würsch M, Laloi C,
882	Nater M, Hideg E, Apel K. (2004) The genetic basis of singlet oxygen-induced stress
883	responses of Arabidopsis thaliana. Science 306: 183-185
884	Wilson PB, Estavillo GM, Field KJ, Pornsiriwong W, Carroll AJ, Howell KA, Woo NS, Lake
885	JA, Smith SM, Harvey Millar A, von Caemmerer S, Pogson BJ (2009) The
886	nucleotidase/phosphatase SAL1 is a negative regulator of drought tolerance in
887	Arabidopsis. Plant J 58: 299-317
888	Woodson JD, Chory J (2008) Coordination of gene expression between organellar and nuclear
889	genomes. Nat Rev Genet 9: 383-395
890	Woodson JD, Chory J (2012) Organelle signaling: how stressed chloroplasts communicate
891	with the nucleus. Curr Biol 22: R690-R692
892	Xiang C, Han P, Lutziger I, Wang K, Oliver DJ (1999) A mini binary vector series for plant
893	transformation. Plant Mol Biol 40: 711-717

894	Xiao Y, Savchenko T, Baidoo EE, Chehab WE, Hayden DM, Tolstikov V, Corwin JA,
895	Kliebenstein DJ, Keasling JD, Dehesh K (2012) Retrograde signaling by the plastidial
896	metabolite MEcPP regulates expression of nuclear stress-response genes. Cell 149:
897	1525-1535
898	Yoo SD, Cho YH, Sheen J (2007) Arabidopsis mesophyll protoplasts: a versatile cell system
899	for transient gene expression analysis. Nat Protoc 2: 1565-1572
900	Yu F, Liu X, Alsheikh M, Park S, Rodermel S (2008) Mutations in SUPPRESSOR OF
901	VARIEGATION1, a factor required for normal chloroplast translation, suppress var2-
902	mediated leaf variegation in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 20: 1786-1804
903	Yu F, Park S, Rodermel SR (2004) The Arabidopsis FtsH metalloprotease gene family:
904	interchangeability of subunits in chloroplast oligomeric complexes. Plant J 37: 864-876
905	Yu F, Park S, Rodermel SR (2005) Functional redundancy of AtFtsH metalloproteases in
906	thylakoid membrane complexes. Plant Physiol 138: 1957-1966
907	Yu F, Park SS, Liu X, Foudree A, Fu A, Powikrowska M, Khrouchtchova A, Jensen PE,
908	Kriger JN, Gray GR, Rodermel SR (2011) SUPPRESSOR OF VARIEGATION4, a new
909	var2 suppressor locus, encodes a pioneer protein that is required for chloroplast
910	biogenesis. Mol Plant



Figure 1. Suppression of *var2* leaf variegation by *svr9-1*. A, Representative 15-day-old wild type (WT), *var2-5*, *092-004* (*var2-5 svr9-1*), and *svr9-1* plants. C, Representative 15-day-old WT, *var2-4*, *svr9-1*, and *var2-4 svr9-1* double mutant. B and D, Chlorophyll contents of indicated tissues in plants shown in A and C, respectively. Total: entire rosettes excluding the cotyledons; Peripheral: the first two true leaves; Center: rosettes excluding the cotyledons and the first two true leaves. Chlorophyll measurements were normalized on a fresh tissue weight basis. Data were presented as mean \pm standard deviation (s.d.) of three biological replicates. *: 0.01<*p*<0.05; **: *p*<0.01.



Figure 2. Cloning of *SVR9*. A, Schematic representation of the positional cloning procedure used to identify *svr9-1* mutation. Primers of the molecular markers designed in this study were listed in Supplemental Table S2. A total of 570 F2 plants (1140 chromosomes) were used in fine mapping. Numbers of recombinants were marked under each molecular marker. The asterisk indicated the position of *SVR9* (At2g24060). In the gene model, boxes represent exons and lines represent introns. 5' and 3' untranslated regions (UTRs) were shaded. Arrows represent the positions of the primers used in B and C. B, PCR analyses using various primer combinations indicated that At2g24060 genomic regions were disrupted in *svr9-1*. C, Semi-quantitative RT-PCR analyses of the accumulations of *SVR9* transcripts in wild type and *svr9-1* using indicated primer combinations. Expression of *ACT2* was used as an internal control.



Figure 3. Complementation of *svr9-1* and *092-004*. A, Leaf color phenotypes of two-week-old WT, *svr9-1*, *var2-5*, *092-004* and representative lines overexpressing At2g24060 in *svr9-1* or *092-004* background. B, Leaf color phenotypes of two-week-old WT, *svr9-1*, *var2-5*, *092-004* and representative lines overexpressing CTP_{SVR9}-infC in *svr9-1* or *092-004* background.



Figure 4. *SVR9* and *SVR9-like* genes in *Arabidopsis.* A, Gene models of three *Arabidopsis* genes coding for putative prokaryotic type IF3 proteins. Gene models were drawn as in Fig. 2A. Numbers of nucleotides of each exon excluding the lengths of UTRs were labeled on top of each exon. B, Transient expression of SVR9-GFP, SVR9L1-GFP or GFP alone in wild type *Arabidopsis* leaf protoplasts. A single representative protoplast was shown for each transformation. Merged images from GFP and chlorophyll channels were shown in the "Merge" lane. BF, bright field. Bars, 10 μ m. C-D, Tissue expression patterns of *SVR9*. Illustrated are GUS staining of 6-day-old (C) and 2-week-old (D) transgenic plants expressing the transcriptional fusion of *P*_{SVR9}:GUS.



Figure 5. Genetic interactions between *var2*, *svr9-2* and *svr9l1-1*. A-D, The first true leaves of representative 2-week-old WT, *var2-5* and *var2-4* (A), *svr9l1-1*, *svr9-2* and *svr9l1-1 svr9-2* double mutant (B), *svr9l1-1 var2-5* double mutant, *svr9-2 var2-5* double mutant, and *svr9l1-1 svr9-2 var2-5* triple mutant (C), *svr9l1-1 var2-4* double mutant, *svr9-2 var2-4* double mutant and *svr9l1-1 svr9-2 var2-4* triple mutant (D). E-H, Quantitative comparison of leaf variegation based on the frequency distributions of the pixel intensity values of leaf images shown in A-D.



Figure 6. Functional redundancy of *SVR9* and *SVR9L1*. A, Overall phenotypes of 2week-old WT, *svr9l1-1*, *svr9-1* and *svr9-1 svr9l1-1/+*. B, Seed settings of WT and *svr9-1 svr9l1-1/+*. Developing siliques of WT and *svr9-1 svr9l1-1/+* at the same stage were dissected and photographed with a stereoscope. White arrows indicated the abolished embryos in *svr9-1 svr9l1-1/+* silique. Bars, 2.5 mm. C, Overall phenotypes of 2-week-old WT, *svr9-1* and a representative line overexpressing *SVR9L1* in the *svr9-1* background.



Figure 7. Leaf development phenotypes in *svr9-1*, *svr9l1-1* and *svr9-1 svr9l1-1/+* mutants. A, Photographs of the third true leaves of representative 2-week-old WT, *svr9l1-1*, *svr9-1* and *svr9-1 svr9l1-1/+*. Bars, 1 mm. B-D, Quantitative comparisons of leaf shapes in WT, *svr9l1-1*, *svr9-1* and *svr9-1 svr9l1-1/+* based on the leaf dissection index (perimeter²/4 π ×leaf area) (B), the number of teeth/leaf perimeter (C), and the tooth area/leaf area (D). All measurements were performed on the third true leaves of 2-week-old plants. 10 leaves of each genotype were included in the statistical analysis. Data were presented as mean ± s.d. ***: *p*<0.001. E, Cross sections of the basal part of the first true leaves of 10-day-old WT, *svr9-1* and *svr9-1 svr9l1-1/+*. Bars, 50 µm. F, Common cotyledon vein patterns observed in WT, *svr9-1* and *svr9-1 svr9l1-1/+*.



Figure 8. Repression of chloroplast translation alters *DR5:GUS* expression pattern. Images of three representative cotyledons were shown for each genotype or treatment. A-C, Comparison of *DR5:GUS* expression patterns in WT, *svr9-1* and *svr9-1 svr9l1-1/+* backgrounds. *DR5:GUS* activities were assayed in cotyledons of 6-day-old seedlings grown on solid 1/2 MS medium. D-F, Effects of chloroplast translation inhibitors on *DR5:GUS* expression. 6-day-old wild type background *DR5:GUS* seedlings maintained in liquid 1/2 MS culture were treated with 5 mM chloramphenicol, 5 mM spectinomycin or equal amounts of ethanol (mock treatment) for 24 hours before assaying *DR5:GUS* activities. Bars, 1 mm.



Figure 9. Effects of auxin transport inhibitors on leaf margin development. A-B, WT, *svr9-1* and *svr9-1 svr9l1-1/+* were grown on solid 1/2 MS medium supplemented with 1 μ M TIBA (A), 1 μ M NPA (B) or equal amounts of DMSO (mock treatment) for 14 days. The third true leaves from representative plants of each genotype were illustrated. Bars, 1 mm. C-D, Quantification of the effects of TIBA (C) and NPA (D) on leaf shape by leaf dissection index measurements. Data were presented as mean \pm s.d. **:0.001<*p*<0.01; ***: *p*<0.001.



Figure 10. Genetic interactions between *cuc2* mutants and *svr9-1*, *svr9l1-1* mutants. A, Photographs of the third true leaves in representative 2-week-old WT, *cuc2-101*, *cuc2-1D*, *svr9-1*, *cuc2-101 svr9-1*, *cuc2-1D svr9-1*, *svr9-1 svr9l1-1/+*, *cuc2-101 svr9-1 svr9l1-1/+*, and *cuc2-1D svr9-1 svr9l1-1/+*. B-D, Quantitative comparisons of leaf margins of indicated genotypes. Leaf margin quantifications were based on the leaf dissection index (B), the number of teeth/leaf perimeter (C), and the tooth area/leaf area (D). All leaf shape parameters were obtained as in Fig. 7. Data were presented as mean \pm s.d. ***: *p*<0.001.

Parsed Citations

Auru MR, Bae H, Wu D, Rodermel SR (2001) The Arabidopsis immutans mutation affects plastid differentiation and the morphogenesis of white and green sectors in variegated plants. Plant Physiol 127: 67-77

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Bilsborough GD, Runions A, Barkoulas M, Jenkins HW, Hasson A, Galinha C, Laufs P, Hay A, Prusinkiewicz P, Tsiantis M (2011) Model for the regulation of Arabidopsis thaliana leaf margin development. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 108: 3424-3429

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Briggs GC, Osmont KS, Shindo C, Sibout R, Hardtke CS (2006) Unequal genetic redundancies in Arabidopsis--a neglected phenomenon? Trends Plant Sci 11: 492-498

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Byrne ME (2012) Making leaves. Curr Opin Plant Biol 15: 24-30

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Cheng Y, Dai X, Zhao Y (2007) Auxin synthesized by the YUCCA flavin monooxygenases is essential for embryogenesis and leaf formation in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 19: 2430-2439

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Chi W, Sun X, Zhang L (2013) Intracellular signaling from plastid to nucleus. Annu Rev Plant Biol 64: 559-582

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Clough SJ, Bent AF (1998). Floral dip: A simplified method for Agrobacterium-mediated transformation of Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant J 16: 735-743

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Dyall SD, Brown MT, Johnson PJ (2004) Ancient invasions: from endosymbionts to organelles. Science 304: 253-257

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Fleischmann TT, Scharff LB, Alkatib S, Hasdorf S, Schöttler MA, Bock R (2011) Nonessential plastid-encoded ribosomal proteins in tobacco: a developmental role for plastid translation and implications for reductive genome evolution. Plant Cell 23: 3137-3155

Pubmed: Author and Title CrossRef: Author and Title Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Galvez-Valdivieso G, Mullineaux PM (2010) The role of reactive oxygen species in signalling from chloroplasts to the nucleus. Physiol Plant 138: 430-39

Pubmed: Author and Title CrossRef: Author and Title Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Gray JC, Sullivan JA, Wang JH, Jerome CA, MacLean D (2003) Coordination of plastid and nuclear gene expression. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B 358: 135-44

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef. <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Hricová A, Quesada V, Micol JL (2006) The SCABRA3 nuclear gene encodes the plastid RpoTp RNA polymerase, which is required for chloroplast biogenesis and mesophyll cell proliferation in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol 141: 942-956

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Jefferson RA (1987) Assaying chimeric genes in plants: the GUS gene fusion system. Plant Mol Biol Rep 5: 387-405

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Jensen PE, Leister D (2014) Chloroplast evolution, structure and functions. F1000Prime Rep 6: 40

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only diversed ther and Jelantphysiol.org</u> on September 6, 2016 - Published by www.plantphysiol.org Copyright © 2016 American Society of Plant Biologists. All rights reserved. Josse EM, Simkin AJ, Gaffé J, Labouré AM, Kuntz M, Carol P (2000) A plastid terminal oxidase associated with carotenoid desaturation during chromoplast differentiation. Plant Physiol 123: 1427-1436

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Kawamura E1, Horiguchi G, Tsukaya H. (2010) Mechanisms of leaf tooth formation in Arabidopsis. Plant J 62:429-441

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Kindgren P, Kremnev D, Blanco NE, de Dios Barajas López J, Fernández AP, Tellgren-Roth C, Kleine T, Small I, Strand A (2012) The plastid redox insensitive 2 mutant of Arabidopsis is impaired in PEP activity and high light-dependent plastid redox signalling to the nucleus. Plant J 70: 279-291

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Koussevitzky S, Nott A, Mockler TC, Hong F, Sachetto-Martins G, Surpin M, Lim J, Mittler R, Chory J (2007) Signals from chloroplasts converge to regulate nuclear gene expression. Science 316: 715-719

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Larue CT, Wen J, Walker JC (2009) A microRNA-transcription factor module regulates lateral organ size and patterning in Arabidopsis. Plant J 58: 450-463

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Lee KP, Kim C, Landgraf F, Apel K (2007) EXECUTER1- and EXECUTER2-dependent transfer of stress-related signals from the plastid to the nucleus of Arabidopsis thaliana. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 104: 10270-10275

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Leister D (2003) Chloroplast research in the genomic age. Trends Genet 19: 47-56

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Leister D (2012) Retrograde signaling in plants: from simple to complex scenarios. Front Plant Sci 3: 135

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Lichtenthaler HK (1987) Chlorophylls, carotenoids: pigments of photosynthetic biomembranes. Methods Enzymol 148: 350-382

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Liu X, Yu F, Rodermel S (2010a) Arabidopsis chloroplast FtsH, var2 and suppressors of var2 leaf variegation: a review. J Integr Plant Biol 52: 750-761

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Liu X, Yu F, Rodermel S (2010b) An Arabidopsis pentatricopeptide repeat protein, SUPPRESSOR OF VARIEGATION7, is required for FtsH-mediated chloroplast biogenesis. Plant Physiol 154: 1588-1601

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Liu X, Rodermel SR, Yu F (2010c) A var2 leaf variegation suppressor locus, SUPPRESSOR OF VARIEGATION3, encodes a putative chloroplast translation elongation factor that is important for chloroplast development in the cold. BMC Plant Biol 10: 287

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Liu X, Zheng M, Wang R, Wang R, An L, Rodermel SR, Yu F (2013) Genetic interactions reveal that specific defects of chloroplast translation are associated with the suppression of var2-mediated leaf variegation. J Integr Plant Biol 55: 979-993

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Lomakin IB, Shirokikh NE, Yusupov MM, Hellen CU, Pestova TV (2006) The fidelity of translation initiation: reciprocal activities of eIF1, IF3 and YciH. EMBO J 25: 196-210

Pubmed: Author and Title CrossRef: Author and Title Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Title Only Title Author and Title Copyright © 2016 American Society of Plant Biologists. All rights reserved. Lukowitz W, Gillmor CS, Scheible WR (2000) Positional cloning in Arabidopsis: why it feels good to have a genome initiative

working for you. Plant Physiol 123: 795-805 Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Mandel MA, Feldmann KA, Herrera-Estrella L, Rocha-Sosa M, León P (1996) CLA1, a novel gene required for chloroplast development, is highly conserved in evolution. Plant J 9: 649-658

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Milon P, Konevega AL, Gualerzi CO, Rodnina MV (2008) Kinetic checkpoint at a late step in translation initiation. Mol Cell 30: 712-720

Pubmed: Author and Title CrossRef: Author and Title Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Miura E, Kato Y, Matsushima R, Abrecht V, Laalami S, Sakamoto W (2007) The balance between protein synthesis and degradation in chloroplasts determines leaf variegation in Arabidopsis yellow variegated mutants. Plant Cell 19: 1313-1328

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Mochizuki N, Tanaka R, Tanaka A, Masuda T, Nagatani A (2008) The steady-state level of Mg-protoporphyrin IX is not a determinant of plastid-to-nucleus signaling in Arabidopsis. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 105: 15184-15189

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Moulin M, McCormac AC, Terry MJ, Smith AG (2008) Tetrapyrrole profiling in Arabidopsis seedlings reveals that retrograde plastid nuclear signaling is not due to Mg-protoporphyrin IX accumulation. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 105: 15178-15183

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef. <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Nesbit AD, Whippo C, Hangarter RP, Kehoe DM (2015) Translation initiation factor 3 families: what are their roles in regulating cyanobacterial and chloroplast gene expression? Photosynth Res 126: 147-159

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Nikovics K, Blein T, Peaucelle A, Ishida T, Morin H, Aida M, Laufs P (2006) The balance between the MIR164A and CUC2 genes controls leaf margin serration in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 18: 2929-2945

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Nott A, Jung HS, Koussevitzky S, Chory J (2006) Plastid-to-nucleus retrograde signaling. Annu Rev Plant Biol 57: 739-759

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Pesaresi P, Hertle A, Pribil M, Kleine T, Wagner R, Strissel H, Ihnatowicz A, Bonardi V, Scharfenberg M, Schneider A, Pfannschmidt T, Leister D (2009) Arabidopsis STN7 kinase provides a link between short- and long-term photosynthetic acclimation. Plant Cell 21: 2402-2423

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Pesaresi P, Masiero S, Eubel H, Braun HP, Bhushan S, Glaser E, Salamini F, Leister D (2006) Nuclear photosynthetic gene expression is synergistically modulated by rates of protein synthesis in chloroplasts and mitochondria. Plant Cell 18: 970-991

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Putarjunan A, Liu X, Nolan T, Yu F, Rodermel S (2013) Understanding chloroplast biogenesis using second-site suppressors of immutans and var2. Photosynth Res 116: 437-453

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Qi Y, Zhao J, An R, Zhang J, Liang S, Shao J, Liu X, An L, Yu F (2015) Mutations in circularly permuted GTPase family genes AtNOA1/RIF1/SVR10 and BPG2 suppress var2-mediated leaf variegation in Arabidopsis thaliana. Photosynth Res DOI: 10.1007/s11120-015-0195-9

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Ramel F, Birtic S, Ginies C, Spybigou Taconnat In Triantaphylicity C, Havay 10 (2012), Carotenoid paidation products are stress Copyright © 2016 American Society of Plant Biologists. All rights reserved. signals that mediate gene responses to single to oxygen in plants. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 109: 5535-5540

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Reiter RS, Coomber SA, Bourett TM, Bartley GE, Scolnik PA (1994) Control of leaf and chloroplast development by the Arabidopsis gene pale cress. Plant Cell 6: 1253-1264

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Royer DL, McElwain JC, Adams JM, Wilf P (2008) Sensitivity of leaf size and shape to climate within Acer rubrum and Quercus kelloggii. New Phytol 179: 808-817

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Sacerdot C, Fayat G, Dessen P, Springer M, Plumbridge JA, Grunberg-Manago M, Blanquet S (1982) Sequence of a 1.26-kb DNA fragment containing the structural gene for E.coli initiation factor IF3: presence of an AUU initiator codon. EMBO J 1: 311-315

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Saini G, Meskauskiene R, Pijacka W, Roszak P, Sjögren LL, Clarke AK, Straus M, Apel K (2011) 'happy on norflurazon' (hon) mutations implicate perturbance of plastid homeostasis with activating stress acclimatization and changing nuclear gene expression in norflurazon-treated seedlings. Plant J 65: 690-702

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef. <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Scarpella E, Marcos D, Friml J, Berleth T (2006) Control of leaf vascular patterning by polar auxin transport. Genes Dev 20: 1012-1027

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Sieburth LE (1999) Auxin is required for leaf vein pattern in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol 121: 1179-1190

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Sun X, Feng P, Xu X, Guo H, Ma J, Chi W, Lin R, Lu C, Zhang L (2011) A chloroplast envelope-bound PHD transcription factor mediates chloroplast signals to the nucleus. Nat Commun 2: 477

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Susek RE, Ausubel FM, Chory J (1993) Signal transduction mutants of Arabidopsis uncouple nuclear CAB and RBCS gene expression from chloroplast development. Cell 74: 787-799

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Tiller N, Bock R (2014) The translational apparatus of plastids and its role in plant development. Mol Plant 7: 1105-1120 Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u>

CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Ulmasov T, Murfett J, Hagen G, Guilfoyle TJ (1997) Aux/IAA proteins repress expression of reporter genes containing natural and highly active synthetic auxin response elements. Plant Cell 9: 1963-1971

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Wagner D, Przybyla D, Op den Camp R, Kim C, Landgraf F, Lee KP, Würsch M, Laloi C, Nater M, Hideg E, Apel K. (2004) The genetic basis of singlet oxygen-induced stress responses of Arabidopsis thaliana. Science 306: 183-185

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Wilson PB, Estavillo GM, Field KJ, Pornsiriwong W, Carroll AJ, Howell KA, Woo NS, Lake JA, Smith SM, Harvey Millar A, von Caemmerer S, Pogson BJ (2009) The nucleotidase/phosphatase SAL1 is a negative regulator of drought tolerance in Arabidopsis. Plant J 58: 299-317

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Woodson JD, Chory J (2008) Coordination of gene expression between organellar and nuclear genomes. Nat Rev Genet 9: 383-395 Pubmed: Author and Title

CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Onlog and ed from www.plantphysiol.org</u> on September 6, 2016 - Published by www.plantphysiol.org Copyright © 2016 American Society of Plant Biologists. All rights reserved. Woodson JD, Chory J (2012) Organelle signaling: how stressed chloroplasts communicate with the nucleus. Curr Biol 22: R690-R692

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Xiang C, Han P, Lutziger I, Wang K, Oliver DJ (1999) A mini binary vector series for plant transformation. Plant Mol Biol 40: 711-717 Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u>

CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Xiao Y, Savchenko T, Baidoo EE, Chehab WE, Hayden DM, Tolstikov V, Corwin JA, Kliebenstein DJ, Keasling JD, Dehesh K (2012) Retrograde signaling by the plastidial metabolite MEcPP regulates expression of nuclear stress-response genes. Cell 149: 1525-1535

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Yoo SD, Cho YH, Sheen J (2007) Arabidopsis mesophyll protoplasts: a versatile cell system for transient gene expression analysis. Nat Protoc 2: 1565-1572

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef. <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Yu F, Liu X, Alsheikh M, Park S, Rodermel S (2008) Mutations in SUPPRESSOR OF VARIEGATION1, a factor required for normal chloroplast translation, suppress var2-mediated leaf variegation in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 20: 1786-1804

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Yu F, Park S, Rodermel SR (2004) The Arabidopsis FtsH metalloprotease gene family: interchangeability of subunits in chloroplast oligomeric complexes. Plant J 37: 864-876

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Yu F, Park S, Rodermel SR (2005) Functional redundancy of AtFtsH metalloproteases in thylakoid membrane complexes. Plant Physiol 138: 1957-1966

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Yu F, Park SS, Liu X, Foudree A, Fu A, Powikrowska M, Khrouchtchova A, Jensen PE, Kriger JN, Gray GR, Rodermel SR (2011) SUPPRESSOR OF VARIEGATION4, a new var2 suppressor locus, encodes a pioneer protein that is required for chloroplast biogenesis. Mol Plant

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> CrossRef: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>