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RING-H2-type E3 gene VpRH2 from Vitis pseudoreticulata improves resistance to powdery mildew by interacting with VpGRP2A

Lei Wang1,2,†, Xiaqing Xie1,2,†, Wenkong Yao1,2,†, Jie Wang1,2,†, Fuli Ma1,2, Chen Wang1,2, Yazhou Yang1,2, Weihuo Tong1,2, Jianxia Zhang1,2, Yan Xu1,2, Xiping Wang1,2, Chaohong Zhang1,2,3,* and Yuejin Wang1,2,3,*

1 College of Horticulture, Northwest A & F University, Yangling, Shaanxi, 712100, the People’s Republic of China
2 Key Laboratory of Horticultural Plant Biology and Germplasm Innovation in Northwest China, Ministry of Agriculture, Yangling, Shaanxi 712100, the People’s Republic of China
3 State Key Laboratory of Crop Stress Biology in Arid Areas, Northwest A & F University, Yangling, Shaanxi, 712100, the People’s Republic of China

† Correspondence: zhangchaohong@nwsuaf.edu.cn and wangyj@nwsuaf.edu.cn
† These authors contributed equally to this work.

Received 22 September 2016; Editorial decision 13 January 2017; Accepted 13 January 2017

Editor: Katherine Denby, York University

Abstract

Grapevine is one of the world’s most important fruit crops. European cultivated grape species have the best fruit quality but show almost no resistance to powdery mildew (PM). PM caused by Uncinula necator is a harmful disease that has a significant impact on the economic value of the grape crop. In this study, we examined a RING-H2-type ubiquitin ligase gene VpRH2 that is associated with significant PM-resistance of Chinese wild-growing grape Vitis pseudoreticulata accession Baihe-35-1. The expression of VpRH2 was clearly induced by U. necator inoculation compared with its homologous gene VvRH2 in a PM-susceptible grapevine V. vinifera cv. Thompson Seedless. Using a yeast two-hybrid assay we confirmed that VpRH2 interacted with VpGRP2A, a glycine-rich RNA-binding protein. The degradation of VpGRP2A was inhibited by treatment with the proteasome inhibitor MG132 while VpRH2 did not promote the degradation of VpGRP2A. Instead, the transcripts of VpRH2 were increased by over-expressing VpGRP2A while VpRH2 suppressed the expression of VpGRP2A. Furthermore, VpGRP2A was down-regulated in both Baihe-35-1 and Thompson Seedless after U. necator inoculation. Specifically, we generated VpRH2 overexpression transgenic lines in Thompson Seedless and found that the transgenic plants showed enhanced resistance to powdery mildew compared with the wild-type. In summary, our results indicate that VpRH2 interacts with VpGRP2A and plays a positive role in resistance to powdery mildew.
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Introduction

The ubiquitin/26S proteasome system (UPS) is an important protein modification mechanism in eukaryotic cells that functions in plant growth and development, including flowering time, root growth, hormone signaling, abiotic stress, and biotic stress (Hellmann and Estelle, 2002; Devoto et al., 2003; Dreher and Callis, 2007; Deb et al., 2014; Lazaro et al., 2015).
UPS involves ubiquitin, ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme (E1), ubiquitin-activating enzyme (E2), ubiquitin ligase (E3), and the 26S proteasome (Haglund and Dikic, 2005; Du et al., 2009). E3s have been divided into four main classes according to their domains: HECT (Homology to E6-AP C-Terminus), RING (Really Interesting New Gene)/U-box, SCF (Skp–Cullin–F-box), and ACP (Anaphase-Promoting Complex) (Craig et al., 2009; Vierstra, 2009; Chen and Hellmann, 2013; Duplan and Rivas, 2014; Morreale and Walden, 2016). The plant immunity system can be divided into two layers according to the type of pathogen molecules that are recognized by the plant. The first layer of defense responses is activated by pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) and is termed the PAMP-triggered immunity (PTI) (Schwessinger and Zipfel, 2008), and the second layer is defense mediated by disease-resistance (R) proteins, and is termed effector-triggered immunity (ETI) (Jones and Dangl, 2006). Several RING proteins have been identified as having functions in immunity. In Arabidopsis, two RING-type E3s, AtRIN2 and AtRIN3 (RPM1-interacting proteins 2 and 3), enhance the RPM1- and RPS2-mediated hypersensitive response (HR) (Kawasaki et al., 2005); AtRKP reduces the susceptibility to infection by Beet Severe Curly Top Virus (BSCTV) (Lai et al., 2011). An E3, named OsAPIP6, targets an effector AvrPiz-t from Magnaporthe oryzae by ubiquitination to active PTI in Nicotiana benthamiana (Park et al., 2012).

Powdery mildew caused by biotrophic pathogens of the Erysipheaceae family is one of the most widespread diseases in the world (Zabka et al., 2008; Hoeft et al., 2011) and negatively affects the development of grape, wheat, barley, Arabidopsis, and many ornamentals (Menzies et al., 1992; Glawe, 2008). For example, approximately 76% of all fungi species used in European Union member states in 2003 were applied to grapevine in order to reduce the harmful effects of pathogens in although this crop only accounted for approximately 8.5% of the total cultivated area (Muthmann, 2007). In Arabidopsis, previous studies have demonstrated that the MLO loss-of-expression mutant shows enhanced resistance to powdery mildew (Hückelhoven and Panstruga, 2011; Inada et al., 2016). The expression of AtPEN1, AtPEN2, and AtPEN3 inhibited the entry of PM fungi (Lipka et al., 2008), and AtRPW8.1 and AtRPW8.2 conferred broad-spectrum resistance to various PM fungi (Xiao et al., 2001; Wang et al., 2007). In barley, MLOs and ROP binding protein kinase have been considered as regulating PM entry (Opalski et al., 2005; Hoeft et al., 2011; Hückelhoven and Panstruga, 2011). For wheat, a U-box-type ubiquitin ligase CMPG1-V was found to mediate the production of reactive oxidative species and the phytohormone pathway after PM infection (Zhu et al., 2015). In grape studies, a TIR-NB-LRR gene MrRUN1 (Resistance to Uncinula necator) in the North American wild grapevine species Muscadinia rotundifolia was found to confer enhanced resistance to powdery mildew (Feehan et al., 2013) caused by U. necator originating in eastern and central North America (Cadle-Davidson et al., 2011). In comparison with the PM-susceptible grapevine V. vinifera cv. Cabernet Sauvignon, reduced hyphal growth together with higher endogenous salicylic acid levels and more brown-colored epidermal cells were found in the PM-resistant grapevine V. aestivalis Norton after inoculation (Fung et al., 2008). Several ubiquitin ligases have been studied in grape for their functions in abiotic and biotic stress responses, and two ubiquitin ligases have shown functions in abiotic stresses (Tak and Mhatre, 2013; Jiao et al., 2015). Our research group has reported a RING-type E3 named VpEIRP1 from V. pseudoreticulata accession Baihe-35-1 that works as a positive regulator for U. necator inoculation by interacting with VpWRKY11 (Yu et al., 2013b). However, there was yet no reports regarding the Arabidopsis Tóxicos en Levadura (ATL) subfamily members in grape.

The ATL subfamily is a special RING-finger E3 family that encodes proteins with the RING-H2 domain and transmembrane domain (Guzmán, 2012, 2014). Previous studies have predicted that the ATL family is comprised of 39 members in grape, 80 in Arabidopsis thaliana, and 121 in Oryza sativa (Aguilar-Hernández et al., 2011). The first member of the ATL family, named AtATL2, was identified in Arabidopsis thaliana and functions as an early PAMP-responsive gene (Martínez-García et al., 1996). AtATL9 positively correlated with the basal defense response against powdery mildew (Berrocal-Lobo et al., 2010). AtATL31, which interacts with Syntaxin of Plants 121 (AtSYP121), controls the formation of papilla where the powdery mildew fungus Blumeria graminis f. sp. hordei (Bgh) penetrates (Maekawa et al., 2014). AtATL1 was found to be negatively regulated by AtEDR1, and AtATL1 knock-down plants have increased sensitivity to infection by the powdery mildew fungus Golovinomyces cichoracearum strain UCSC1 (Serrano et al., 2014).

Grapevine is an important fruit crop in the world because of its economic value and its health benefits to humans (Bouquet et al., 2008). According to FAO statistics (http://faostat3.fao.org), in 2013 the global production of grapes was 77 181 122 t and it ranked fourth in fruit tree crops. Of particular importance, resveratrol, which has been verified to have cancer-chemopreventive, anti-oxidant, and anti-mutation activities, is found in relatively high quantities in grapes and its product red wine (Jang et al., 1997; Pandey and Rizvi, 2009). This discovery accelerated the development of the grape industry and resveratrol has been wildly researched for its benefits for human health (Baur et al., 2006; Baur and Sinclair, 2006; Smoliga et al., 2011; Szkudelski and Szkudelska, 2015). Vitis vinifera has the best fruit quality but shows almost no resistance to U. necator (Breuer and Milgroome, 2010; Cadle-Davidson et al., 2011; Caffi et al., 2011), and hence research into genes resistant to powdery mildew is an important task for genetic improvement and breeding in grape. China is one of the most important grape origin centers in the world with abundant wild grape resources, and Chinese wild V. pseudoreticulata accession Baihe-35-1 was selected as the target of...
our research because of its resistance against several kinds of fungi, especially *U. necator* (*Wang et al.*, 1995). In order to research and use this resistance resource, our group has constructed a cDNA library from *V. pseudoreticulata* Baihe-35-1 inoculated with *U. necator* (*Zhu et al.*, 2012a), where several valuable genes have been studied (*Li et al.*, 2010; *Xu et al.*, 2010, 2011; *Yu et al.*, 2011, 2013a, 2013b; *Zhu et al.*, 2012a, 2012b, 2013). Here, we report a RING-H2-type *VpRH2* from the PM-inoculated Baihe-35-1 cDNA library that interacts with the Glycine-rich RNA-binding Protein *VpGRP2A* and plays a positive role in resistance to *U. necator*.

### Materials and methods

#### Plant material and growth conditions

Tissues of *V. pseudoreticulata* accession Baihe-35-1, *V. vinifera* cv. Thompson Seedless and cv. Carignane were collected from the grape germplasm resources of Northwest A & F University, Yangling, Shaanxi, China (34°20´ N, 108°24´ E), and propagated and transplanted as previously described (*Yu et al.*, 2013b). The *VpRH2* ORF driven by the CaMV 35S promoter was cloned into a reconstitutive vector pCAMBIA2301 using 3FLAG-tag (*Zhou et al.*, 2014) and named 3SS-VpRH2-3Flag; this was then transferred into Thompson Seedless somatic embryos via *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*-mediated transformation for functional evaluation (*Zhou et al.*, 2014; *Dai et al.*, 2015). All cultures were maintained in growth chambers at 25 ± 1°C under a 16-h photoperiod.

*Arabidopsis thaliana* (ecotype Columbia, Col-0) and tobacco plants (*Nicotiana tabacum* cv. NC89) were maintained in growth chambers at 25 ± 1°C under a 16-h photoperiod.

#### Inoculation with *U. necator*

The fungi were maintained on the leaves of *V. vinifera* cv. Cabernet Sauvignon. The procedure for inoculation of *U. necator* on grape leaves was as described previously (*Wang et al.*, 1995), with double-distilled water sprayed as a negative control (mock). Leaves were collected at 0, 6, 12, 24, 48, 72, 96, and 120 h after inoculation and immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen.

Grape plants were inoculated with *U. necator* and leaves were collected for 5 days post-inoculation (dpi). Trypan Blue staining was used to visualise the hyphae and chloral hydrate was used to destain (*Serrano et al.*, 2014). The samples were observed under a bright-field light microscope (Olympus CX21) (*Wang et al.*, 2007).

A TUNEL (TdT-mediated dUTP Nick-End Labeling) assay was used to test for cell death after *U. necator* inoculation (*Phan et al.*, 2011), using the TUNEL Apoptosis Assay Kit-FITC (7Sea Biotech, Shanghai, China). The PM-inoculated leaves were fixed for paraffin sectioning. After dewaxing, fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) was used to stain for cell death while PI (propidium iodide) was used for the cell nucleus. The samples were examined under a fluorescence microscope (Olympus BX51+DP70).

#### RNA extraction and quantitative RT-PCR analysis

The grape leaves harvested above were used for RNA extraction using the Omega Plant RNA Kit (Omega bio-tek, Norcross, Georgia), and first-stand cDNA was synthesized using the FastQuant RT Kit (with gDNase) (Tiangen Biotech, Beijing, China) (*Yu et al.*, 2013b). qRT-PCR using the BioEasy Master Mix (SYBR Green) (Bioer Technology, Hangzhou, China) was performed on a Bio-Rad IQ5 Real-Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA). The relative quantity of target mRNA was calculated using *VvActin7* (GenBank accession no. XM_002282480.3) as the house-keeping gene.

### Extraction of genomic DNA and the cloning of the *VpRH2* promoter

Grape leaves were collected for genomic DNA extraction as previously described (*Yu et al.*, 2013a). The purified grape genomic DNA was used as the template in amplification of the *VpRH2* promoter. The promoter sequence of *VpRH2* was obtained based on the predicted sequence on the Grape Genome Browser (http://www.genoscope.cns.fr/externe/GenomeBrowser/Vitis/) and analyzed with the plantCARE database (http://bioinformatics.psb.ugent.be/webtools/plantcare/html/) (*Lescot et al.*, 2002).

#### GUS activity assay

The promoter of *VpRH2* was cloned into the expression vector pCAMBIA0350-GUS, and the recombinant vector was transformed into *Agrobacterium* strain GV3101 for transient expression (*Xu et al.*, 2010). *Agrobacterium*-mediated vacuum infiltration was used to perform the transient transformation assay (*Santos-Rosa et al.*, 2008; *Xu et al.*, 2010). The grape leaves for transient expression were vacuumized for 30 min and cultivated in a 22 °C chamber for 2 d before the *U. necator* inoculation described above. At 24 h after *U. necator* inoculation, the grape leaves were collected for detection of GUS activity. Histochemical and quantitative GUS assays were performed according to the procedure of *Jefferson* (1987, 1988). GUS activities were measured with an Infinite 200 PRO Microplate Reader (TECAN, Switzerland).

#### Subcellular localization in Arabidopsis protoplasts

The ORFs of *VpRH2* and *VpGRP2A* were cloned into pBI221-35S-GFP (*Zhu et al.*, 2013), generating the vectors pBI221-35S-VpRH2-GFP and pBI221-35S-VpGRP2A-GFP, respectively. The fusion constructs were transiently transformed into Arabidopsis protoplasts using PEG-mediated transformation assays (*Yoo et al.*, 2007), and the pBI221-35S-GFP vector was used as a positive control. Nuclear DNA was stained with DAPI (4’, 6-diamidino-2-phenylindole). The different pattern markers [AtSec12 (AT2G01470), AtSYP121 (AT3G11820), AtSYP61 (AT1G28490), and AtVAMP721 (AT1G04750)] were cloned in to the pCAMBIA2300-35S-mCherry vector, generating the vectors AtSec12-mCherry, AtSYP121-mCherry, AtSYP61-mCherry, and AtVAMP721-mCherry. The green fluorescent protein (GFP) and mCherry signals were observed by confocal laser microscopy (LSM 510, Zeiss, Oberkonchen, Germany).

#### Yeast two-hybrid assay

The Matchmaker™ Gold yeast two-hybrid system (Clontech, Mountain View, CA, USA) was used for yeast two-hybrid screening. The *VpRH2* ORF and *VpRH2*α2α277 (without the transmembrane domain, named *VpRH2-R1*) were cloned into pGBKTK7 and subsequently transformed into the yeast strain Y2HGold. The yeast strains containing pGBKTK7-VpRH2 and pGBKTK7-VpRH2-R1 were transformed with a prey cDNA library from Baihe-35-1 leaves as described by *Yu et al.* (2013a). The vectors pGBKTK7-Lam and pGBKTK7-p53 were co-transformed with pGADT7-T, used as a negative and positive control, respectively.

The ORF of *VpGRP2A* was cloned into pGBKTK7, while *VpRH2* and *VpRH2-R1* were cloned into pGADT7 to determine the interaction between *VpRH2* and *VpGRP2A*. The recombinant vectors pGADT7-VpRH2 and pGADT7-VpRH2-R1 were co-transformed with pGBKTK7-VpGRP2A. The transformed yeast cells were diluted and grown on SD/-Trp/-Leu/-His/-Ade/Aba/X-gal medium for interaction verification.

#### BiFC (bimolecular fluorescence complementation) assay

The ORFs of *VpRH2* without the termination codon and *VpGRP2A* were cloned into the pSPYCE and pSPYNE vectors
The relationship between VpRH2 and VpGRP2A at the protein and transcriptional levels

The degradation of VpRH2 and VpGRP2A in tobacco was performed as previously described (Bueso et al., 2014). The ORF of VpGRP2A driven by the CaMV 35S promoter was cloned into the pCAMBIA2300-GFP vector. Agrobacterium carrying 35S-VpGRP2A-GFP or 35S-VpRH2-3Flag was infiltrated into tobacco leaves and cultivated for 48 h at 22 °C, then the leaves were treated with or without 50 μM MG132 for 12 h before harvest. In order to determine the protein level interaction between VpRH2 and VpGRP2A, different ratios of Agrobacterium carrying 35S-VpRH2-3Flag and 35S-VpGRP2A-GFP were mixed and infiltrated into the tobacco leaves as described by Liu et al. (2010). The co-infiltrated tobacco leaves were cultured for 72 h at 22 °C and then immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen. Leaf samples were collected for protein extraction by the TCA-acetone method (Wang et al., 2006) and used for western blot analysis. To test the relationship between VpRH2 and VpGRP2A at the transcriptional level, their promoters were used to replace CaMV 35S, named P_{VpRH2-VpRH2-3Flag} and P_{VpGRP2A-VpGRP2A-GFP}, respectively. The different combinations of recombinant vectors and empty vectors were transient-transformed into tobacco and grape leaves. The leaves were treated as described above and RNA was extracted for qRT-PCR analysis.

Transmission electron microscopy

Experiments were performed on 15-d-old leaves of OERH2 and wild-type grape plants (Alonso-Villaverde et al., 2011). Samples were first fixed using 4% glutaraldehyde, then rinsed in PBS buffer and post-fixed in osmic acid for 2 h. Samples were cleaned using high concentration, and finally white resin was used for embedding. Semi-thin (1 μm) sections were stained with Toluidine Blue and were observed under a bright-field light microscope (Olympus). Semi-thin (1 μm) sections were stained with Toluidine Blue to observe the yellow fluorescent protein (YFP) signals.

RH2 showed differential expression levels upon U. nectori inoculation

To understand the expression pattern of RH2 upon PM infection, leaves of Baihe-35-1 and Thompson Seedless were inoculated with U. nectori. The expression of RH2 in the mock controls showed a similar trend in both grapes, with a dip in expression at 6 and 12 hpi (hours post-inoculation) compared to the other time points (Fig. 2A, B). In PM-resistant Baihe-35-1, the expression of VpRH2 began to increase at 12 hpi, with more than a 3-fold greater increase than in the control treatment, maintaining a high level until 72 hpi, and then gradually recovering to the normal level (Fig. 2A). By contrast, VvRH2 from the PM-susceptible Thompson Seedless grape showed a downward trend to reach a minimum value at 72 hpi, and then expression was restored at 96 hpi (Fig. 2B).

RH2 promoter activity showed differences in resistant and susceptible grapes in response to U. nectori

The above results showed that the expression of RH2 had an opposite response in Baihe-35-1 and Thompson Seedless after U. nectori infection. In order to examine the different expression patterns of VpRH2 and VvRH2 in response to PM, we analyzed the promoter sequences of RH2 in the two grape genotypes. In Baihe-35-1, a 1547-bp promoter of VpRH2 was obtained, which was predicted on Chr2 (see Supplementary Fig. S2) (GenBank accession no. KU206024). Using PlantCARE, we found some defense-related elements in the promoter of VpRH2, including a TCA-element, two TC-rich repeats, a TGACG-motif, and two W-box elements (Supplementary Fig. S2). Comparing with the 1604-bp promoter of VvRH2 in Thompson Seedless, three positions of the sequences were deletion mutations, and one was an insertion mutation in the promoter of VpRH2 (Supplementary Fig. S3). The VvRH2 promoter lacks a TGACG-motif, a TC-rich repeat, and an ERE compared to the VpRH2 promoter, which lacks two HSEs (Fig. 2C).

To test whether the activity of the VpRH2 and VvRH2 promoters were induced by powdery mildew, a histochemical assay was conducted (Fig. 2D). The negative control (only GUS without the promoter) showed almost no expression and no change between inoculation with or without U. nectori, and the positive control (35S-GUS) also showed no change but with a strong expression in both treatments. The GUS activity under the promoter of VpRH2 with U. nectori infection was increased more than that in the mock treatment, while the VvRH2 promoter was decreased more than that in
**Fig. 1.** Sequence analysis of the RING-H2-type ubiquitin ligase gene VpRH2 isolated from Chinese wild *Vitis pseudoreticulata*. (A) Chromosomal location of VpRH2. VpRH2 was located on the antisense strand of chromosome 2 of European grape, which is represented by the line at the top. In the detailed illustration below, the intron is represented by the broken line, the sequences 697 to 765 code the transmembrane domain, while 940 to 1065 code for the RING-H2 motif. (B) Diagram of the structure of the full-length VpRH2 protein. The line represents the amino acid sequence, on which the large rectangle is the transmembrane domain, the triangle is the RING-H2 motif, and the small rectangles are low-complexity regions. (C) Alignment of the VpRH2 RING-H2 domain with its homologs from different species: *Vitis vinifera* RING-H2 finger protein ATL20 (GenBank accession no. XM_002265958, VvATL20/VvRH2); *V. vinifera* putative RING-H2 finger protein ATL21 (GenBank accession no. XM_010664685, VvATL21); *V. vinifera* putative RING-H2 finger protein ATL21A (GenBank accession no. XM_002265958, VvATL21A); Arabidopsis thaliana RING-H2 finger protein ATL20 (GenBank accession no. NM_102569, AtATL20); A. thaliana RING-H2 finger protein ATL21 (GenBank accession no. NM_850456, AtATL21); A. thaliana RING-H2 finger protein ATL22 (GenBank accession no. NM_565593, AtATL22); Nelumbo nucifera putative RING-H2 finger protein ATL21A isoform X2 (GenBank accession no. XM_010268201, NnATL21A); Oryza sativa RING-H2 finger protein ATL31 (Accession no. Os02g45390, OsATL31); O. sativa RING-H2 finger protein ATL67 (Accession no. Os06g07100, OsATL67); Populus euphratica RING-H2 finger protein ATL20-like (GenBank accession no. XM_011024201, PeATL20); Sesamum indicum putative RING-H2 finger protein ATL21B (GenBank accession no. XM_011102742, SiATL21B); Triticum aestivum RING-H2-type protein (GenBank accession no. CDM1763, TaRING-1); T. aestivum RING-H2-type protein (GenBank accession no. CDM82187, TaRING-2); Zea mays RING-H2 finger protein ATL67 (GenBank accession no. XP_008650149, ZmATL67); Z. mays RING-H2 finger protein ATL70 (GenBank accession no. XP_008644441, ZmATL70). (D) The phylogenetic relationship between VpRH2 and the ATL family genes in *V. vinifera* (GenBank accession numbers are in Supplementary Table S2). VpRH2 is homologous to ATL20 in *V. vinifera* and is highlighted with a black circle. The branches are assembled into two groups, and VpRH2 and its homologous genes are clustered in the second group.
the mock (Fig. 2D). Quantitation of GUS activity was determined by fluorometric assays. GUS activity of the VpRH2 promoter in pathogen-inoculated leaves was almost 3-fold higher than that in the mock treatment, and showed a highly significant difference (Fig. 2E), while the VvRH2 promoter gave lower GUS activity than the mock after U. necator infection. The results from both the assays showed that compared with the VvRH2 promoter, the promoter of VpRH2 was obviously induced by powdery mildew, which suggests that the different expression patterns of VpRH2 and VvRH2 under pathogen infection may be caused by their promoters.

Subcellular localization of VpRH2

To demonstrate the subcellular localization of VpRH2, the VpRH2 over-expressing vector (pBI221-35S-VpRH2-GFP) was constructed, while free-GFP under CaMV 35S was used as a positive control. After transient transformation into
Arabidopsis protoplasts, pBI221-35S-VpRH2-GFP was located at the cell membrane and cytoplasm, but not in the nucleus (Fig. 3A). To further study the subcellular localization of VpRH2, pBI221-35S-VpRH2-GFP was co-expressed with several marker proteins in Arabidopsis protoplasts. Overlapping subcellular localization of VpRH2 was found by co-expressing with an endoplasmic reticulum (ER) marker AtSec12 (González et al., 2005), a Golgi complex and plasma-membrane marked protein AtSYP121 (Hachez et al., 2014), the TGN/EE (trans-Golgi network/early endosome) marker AtSYP61 (Hachez et al., 2014), and a cell membrane and endomembrane compartments marked protein AtVAMP721 (Fendrych et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2015) (Fig. 3B). The results indicated that VpRH2 was located at the cell membrane, ER, and TGN/EE.

VpRH2 physically interacted with GRP2A

To investigate the functional mechanisms of VpRH2 in response to powdery mildew, a yeast two-hybrid screen was performed to identify the proteins interacting with VpRH2. Thirty-six clones were obtained, and three clones encoding a glycine-rich RNA-binding protein 2A were selected as the candidate interacting protein, which was named as VpGRP2A (GenBank accession no. KU296023). After the full length of VpGRP2A was cloned, a re-transformation assay was used to verify the interaction between VpRH2 and VpGRP2A, and showed that VpRH2-R1 interacted with full-length VpGRP2A, while the ORF of VpRH2 did not (Fig. 4A, B).

To further investigate the potential relationship between VpRH2 and VpGRP2A, a bimolecular fluorescence complementation (BiFC) assay was performed. The YFP signal was detected when pSPYCE-VpRH2 was co-expressed with pSPYNE-VpGRP2A in Arabidopsis protoplasts, while no signal could be observed in control groups (Fig. 4C). The same results were detected in tobacco leaves via A. tumefaciens-mediated transient co-expression (Fig. 4D).

These results indicated that VpRH2 physically interacted with VpGRP2A.

VpGRP2A responded to U. necator

To verify the function of VpGRP2A in powdery mildew infection, first its sequence was analyzed. The ORF of VpGRP2A was 489 bp and encoded 162 amino acids, with a RNA-recognition motif (RRM) and a glycine-rich

---

Fig. 3. (A) Subcellular localization of VpRH2 in Arabidopsis leaf protoplasts. Transient transformation of Arabidopsis protoplasts by PEG-mediated transformation assays; the GFP signal was observed by confocal laser microscopy. The nucleus was stained with DAPI. From left to right: GFP, DAPI, chloroplast, bright-field, and merged; scale bars = 10 μm. (B) Co-localization of VpRH2 with different marker proteins in Arabidopsis leaf protoplasts. Transient transformation of Arabidopsis protoplasts by PEG-mediated transformation assays. All the marker proteins were fused with mCherry and co-transformed with pBI221-35S-VpRH2-GFP, AtSec12 (AT2G01470), an endoplasmic reticulum (ER) marker; AtSYP121 (AT3G11820), marked on vesicles between the Golgi complex and the plasma membrane protein; AtSYP61 (AT1G28490), a TGN/EE (trans-Golgi network/early endosome) marker; and AtVAMP721 (AT1G04750), a cell membrane and endomembrane compartments protein. GFP and mCherry were observed by confocal laser microscopy. From left to right: GFP, mCherry and merged; scale bars = 10 μm.
domain as determined by the SMART program (Fig. 5A, B). VpGRP2A was predicted to be located on chromosome 3 using the Grape Genome Browser (Fig. 5A). Sequence analysis showed that VpGRP2A belongs to the glycine-rich protein class Iva (Mangeon et al., 2010), with a RNA recognition motif (RRM) in the N-terminal region and a glycine-rich domain arranged in (Gly)n-X repeats in the C-terminus. The GRP proteins from V. vinifera, Oryza sativa, Malus, Zea mays, Triticum aestivum, and Arabidopsis thaliana were used for clustering analysis, and the results indicated that the amino acid sequence of VpGRP2A shared 100% similarity to VvGRP2A (V. vinifera glycine-rich RNA-binding protein2A, GenBank accession no. XM_003631610) (Fig. 5C).

To further examine its role in pathogen infection, GRP2A expression in Baihe-35-1 and Thompson Seedless after U. necator inoculation was measured by qRT-PCR. The expression of GRP2A in the mock was similar in the two grapes and showed an initial increase before returning to normal levels. The expression of GRP2A after U. necator inoculation also showed a similar trend in the two grapes, clearly decreasing from 6 hpi to 24 hpi comparing with the expression in the mock treatment, then returning to normal levels after 24 hpi (Fig. 5D, E). These results indicated that VpGRP2A responded to PM.

To study the function of VpGRP2A, its subcellular localization was determined. After transient transformation into Arabidopsis protoplasts, pBI221-35S-VpGRP2A-GFP was located at the cell membrane, cytoplasm, and nucleus (Fig. 6A). To further study the subcellular localization of VpGRP2A, pBI221-35S-VpGRP2A-GFP was co-expressed with several marker proteins in Arabidopsis protoplasts. The overlapping subcellular localization of VpGRP2A was found by co-expressing with AtSec12, AtSYP121, AtSYP61, and AtVAMP721 (Fig. 6B). These results indicated that VpGRP2A was located at the cell membrane, ER, and TGN/EE.

**The relationship between VpRH2 and VpGRP2A**

VpRH2 was predicted as a RING-H2-type ubiquitin ligase. We firstly tested whether VpRH2 and its interacting protein VpGRP2A were degraded by UPS. 35S-VpRH2-3Flag and 35S-VpGRP2A-GFP were transient transformed into tobacco leaves using Agrobacterium-mediated transformation. After being infiltrated with 50 μM MG132 (a 26S proteasome-specific
Fig. 5. Sequence and function analysis of VpGRP2A. (A) Chromosomal location of VpGRP2A with conserved RNA-recognition motif. VpGRP2A was located on the positive strand of chromosome 3 of European grape, represented by the line at the top. The detailed line below represents the nucleotide sequences, with 25 to 246 coding the RNA-recognition motif. (B, C) Alignment (B) and phylogenetic relationship (C) of the VpGRP2A RNA-recognition motif amino acid sequence with its homologs in *V. vinifera*, *Arabidopsis*, *Malus*, *Zea mays*, *Triticum aestivum*, and *Oryza sativa*. VpGRP2A is highlighted with a box in (B) and a black circle in (C). Arabidopsis thaliana glycine-rich RNA-binding protein 2 (GenBank accession no. NM_117459, AtGRP2); Arabidopsis thaliana glycine-rich RNA-binding protein 3 (GenBank accession no. NM_125496.2, AtGRP3); Arabidopsis thaliana glycine-rich RNA-binding protein 4 (GenBank accession no. NM_180298.3, AtGRP4); Arabidopsis thaliana glycine-rich RNA-binding protein 5 (GenBank accession no. NM_106083.4, AtGRP5); Arabidopsis thaliana glycine-rich RNA-binding protein 7 (GenBank accession no. NM_127738.4, AtGRP7); Arabidopsis thaliana glycine-rich RNA-binding protein 8 (GenBank accession no. NM_120887.3, AtGRP8); Malus hupehensis glycine-rich RNA-binding protein 1 (GenBank accession no. HQ_380209, MhGRP1); Malus prunifolia glycine-rich RNA-binding protein 1 (GenBank accession no. HM_042682, MpGRP1); Oryza sativa glycine-rich RNA-binding protein 1 (Accession no. Os01g68790, OsGRP1); Oryza sativa glycine-rich RNA-binding protein 2 (Accession no. Os03g56020, OsGRP2); O. sativa glycine-rich RNA-binding protein 3 (Accession no. Os03g46770, OsGRP3); O. sativa glycine-rich RNA-binding protein 4 (Accession no. Os04g33810, OsGRP4); O. sativa glycine-rich RNA-binding protein 5 (Accession no. Os05g13620, OsGRP5); O. sativa glycine-rich RNA-binding protein 6 (Accession no. Os12g31800, OsGRP6); Triticum aestivum glycine-rich RNA-binding protein (GenBank accession no. AGI04359.1, TaGRP); Zea mays glycine-rich RNA-binding protein 1 (Accession no. GRMZM2G150521, ZmGRP1); Z. mays glycine-rich RNA-binding protein 2 (Accession no. GRMZM2G1311671, ZmGRP2); Z. mays glycine-rich RNA-binding protein 3 (Accession no. GRMZM2G042118, ZmGRP3); Z. mays glycine-rich RNA-binding protein 4 (Accession no. GRMZM2G165901, ZmGRP4); Z. mays glycine-rich RNA-binding protein 5 (Accession no. GRMZM2G080603, ZmGRP5); Z. mays glycine-rich RNA-binding protein 6 (Accession no. GRMZM2G001850, ZmGRP6); Z. mays glycine-rich RNA-binding protein 7 (Accession no. GRMZM5G874478, ZmGRP7); Z. mays glycine-rich RNA-binding protein 8 (Accession no. GRMZM2G082931, ZmGRP8); Vitis vinifera glycine-rich RNA-binding protein 2 (GenBank accession no. XM_002264859.3, VvGRP2); V. vinifera glycine-rich RNA-binding protein 2A (GenBank accession no. XM_003631610.2, VvGRP2A); V. vinifera glycine-rich RNA-binding protein 2-like (GenBank accession no. XM_002277592.3, VvGRP2-like); V. vinifera glycine-rich RNA-binding protein 3 (GenBank accession no. XM_0002271188.3, VvGRP3); V. vinifera glycine-rich RNA-binding protein 4 (GenBank accession no. XM_002273962.2, VvGRP4); V. vinifera glycine-rich RNA-binding protein 6 (GenBank accession no. XM_002274142.2, VvGRP6); V. vinifera glycine-rich RNA-binding protein 7 (GenBank accession no. XM_002266977.3, VvGRP7); V. vinifera glycine-rich RNA-binding protein bl801 (GenBank accession no. XM_010664936.1, VvGRP-bl801); V. vinifera glycine-rich RNA-binding protein RZ1A (GenBank accession no. XM_010666679.1, VvGRP-RZ1A); V. vinifera glycine-rich RNA-binding protein RZ1C (GenBank accession no. XM_002265894.3, VvGRP-RZ1C). (D, E) Expression of GRP2A in response to *U. necator* in Chinese wild *V. pseudoreticulata* Baihe-35-1 (D) and *V. vinifera* cv. Thompson Seedless (E). The expression of GRP2A was detected by qRT-PCR. Leaves were inoculated with *U. necator* and collected at eight time points. PM inoculation, powdery mildew inoculation; Mock, control inoculated with double-distilled water; hpi, hours post-inoculation. Significant differences were determined using a one-sided paired t-test (**P<0.01, *P<0.05), expression values (±SE) of three experiments were normalized using VvActin7 as the internal control.
protease inhibitor) for 12 h, the leaves were collected and the total protein was extracted; leaves without MG132 infiltration were used as the mock treatment. The protein level of VpRH2 showed an accumulation trend, while VpGRP2A showed a similar trend (Fig. 7A). These results showed that the degradation of VpRH2 and VpGRP2A was via UPS in tobacco.

To test the interaction of VpRH2 and VpGRP2A at the protein level, different ratios of co-expression of 35S-VpRH2-3Flag and 35S-VpGRP2A-GFP were co-infiltrated in the tobacco leaves. In this assay, the protein expression of 35S-VpRH2-3Flag (the exposure time of 35S-VpRH2-3Flag protein was 255.5 s) fell far lower than that of 35S-VpGRP2A-GFP (the exposure time of 35S-VpGRP2A protein was 10.0 s). Western blot analysis indicated that when the infiltration ratio of VpRH2-3Flag increased, the protein level of VpGRP2A-GFP did not obviously declined (Fig. 7B). These results suggested that the abundance of VpGRP2A protein was regulated by UPS, but the degradation was not observably promoted by VpRH2.

**VpGRP2A enhanced the expression of VpRH2, but VpRH2 suppressed the expression of VpGRP2A**

As VpGRP2A was predicted as a RNA-binding protein, we tested the relationship between *VpRH2* and *VpGRP2A* at the transcriptional level. P*VpRH2*-VpRH2-3Flag and P*VpGRP2A*-VpGRP2A-GFP were co-expressed into tobacco leaves and empty vectors were used as the control. At the transcriptional level, VpGRP2A showed a high expression level while VpRH2 showed a low expression (Fig. 8A, B). However, when VpRH2 was co-infiltrated with VpGRP2A, the expression of VpRH2 showed an increased trend while the expression of VpGRP2A was inhibited. So VpGRP2A enhanced the expression of VpRH2 but VpRH2 suppressed the expression of VpGRP2A.

To investigate the relationship between VpRH2 and VpGRP2A in grape, P*VpRH2*-VpRH2-3Flag and P*VpGRP2A*-VpGRP2A-GFP vectors were transient expressed in Baihe-35-1 and Thompson Seedless leaves. Over-expression of VpRH2 reduced the expression of GRP2A in both grapes, but over-expression of VpGRP2A increased the expression of RH2 (Fig. 8C). After *U. necator* inoculation, the influence of VpRH2 on VpGRP2A expression showed a similar trend as in the no-infection treatment, and the change in Baihe-35-1 was more observable than in Thompson Seedless (Fig. 8D). In summary, VpRH2 showed a similar influence on VpGRP2A in PM-resistant and PM-susceptible grapes, and the effect in the PM-resistant grape was more observable than that in the PM-susceptible grape after *U. necator* inoculation.
Overexpression of VpRH2 enhanced disease resistance in Thompson Seedless grapes

Twelve plant lines (named OERH2-1 to OERH2-12) (see Supplementary Fig. S4A) were regenerated from transformed somatic embryos for further analysis. For PCR testing, a band of 1148 bp was amplified from all plants except OERH2-5, as shown in Supplementary Fig. S4B. Ten plants were verified as positive transgenic grapevines with a band of 47.5 kDa after western blot analysis (Supplementary Fig. S4C). In the negative control, no bands were found in either the DNA or the protein sample. Three lines were selected for examination of their PM response. The expression of RH2 was analyzed in these three transgenic grapes and in the wild-type, and it was found that in the transgenic plants VpRH2 showed a more than 12,000-fold increase (Supplementary Fig. S4D).

To further test the mechanism of PM resistance, OERH2 plants (OERH-1, OERH-3, and OERH-7) were inoculated with U. necator, with wild-type Thompson Seedless used as the negative control (Fig. 9A). In the transgenic OERH2 grapes, the expression of GRP2A in the control treatment showed a similar trend to the wild-type grapes, and GRP2A was down-regulated in PM-treated leaves at 6 hpi to 96 hpi comparing with the mock treatment (Fig. 9B).

Using the Trypan Blue microscopic visualization, areas of cell death and fungal hyphae can be observed under a light microscope (Serrano et al., 2014). The OERH2 grape leaves showed an inhibition of the spread of hyphae at 4 dpi and areas of cell death at 5 dpi when compared with wild-type grape leaves, as shown in Fig. 9C, which indicated that over-expression of VpRH2 could enhance the resistance to U. necator. In order to verify that cell death was caused by U. necator infection, the TUNEL assay was used to test the grape leaves. In this assay, the leaves of wild-type grape showed almost no fluorescence, while there were strong fluorescent signals in the OERH2 plants (Fig. 9D). The number of conidiophores in the transgenic OERH2 and wild-type Thompson Seedless grapes was determined in order to measure fungal reproduction. All three transgenic plants showed a clearly reduced number, with the OERH2-1 and OERH2-7 lines showing a significant difference and the OERH2-3 line showing a highly significant difference when compared with the wild-type (Fig. 9E).

These results showed that the over-expression of VpRH2 can enhance PM resistance in grapes. In addition, the transcript levels of defense-related genes were detected by qRT-PCR, and NPR1, PR1, PRR10.1, and BAK1 were all higher in the transgenic plants than in the wild-type Thompson Seedless after U. necator inoculation (Fig. 10A, B, D, E). For PR3, expression was induced by U. necator but there was almost no difference between the transgenic grapes and the wild-type Thompson Seedless (Fig. 10C).

Overexpression of VpRH2 promoted early growth in transgenic Thompson Seedless grapes

As well as enhanced resistance to powdery mildew, the OERH2 plants displayed an accelerated growth phenotype. After culture for 2 months in planting medium, OERH2-positive plants showed an increased growth phenotype compared
to non-transgenic plants (Fig. 11A). After transferring the grapevines into the greenhouse, the OERH2 plants showed accelerated growth after cultivation for 15 and 30 d, with auxetic leaves and increased internodal length (Fig. 11D).

The cellular organization of grape leaves was examined using semi-thin sections observed under a 40× microscope. Cells in the OERH2 plants were larger and longer than those in the wild-type, and a unique structure was found in some of the spongy parenchyma cells of the OERH2 plants (Fig. 11E). The presence of these structures was confirmed in the ultra-thin sections, where they were found in the large central vacuole and tonoplast and looked like polymerized macromolecules (Fig. 11E).

These results showed that overexpression of \( VpRH2 \) in the Thompson Seedless grape can accelerate plant growth, which is accompanied by the presence of polymerized macromolecules in the spongy parenchyma.

**Discussion**

The UPS has been shown to provide regulation in various processes of plant immunity (Trujillo and Shirasu, 2010). For example, PUB22, PUB23, and PUB24 were shown to be negative regulators in PAMP-triggered immunity (PTI) (Trujillo et al., 2008), and PUB22 was found to degrade EXO70B2 to weaken PTI (Stegmann et al., 2012). PUB12 and PUB13 were found to ubiquitinate and promote FLS2 degradation to reduce PTI in Arabidopsis (Lu et al., 2011).

The important role of ubiquitination in plant immunity may be because pathogens influence the host UPS (Marino et al., 2012, 2013). In this study, it is shown that \( VpRH2 \), a predicted RING-H2-type ubiquitin ligase, shares induced expression after \( U. \) necator inoculation. The overexpression of \( VpRH2 \) in grapes resulted in enhanced resistance to PM, and accordingly \( VpRH2 \) functions as a positive regulator in plant immunity.

RING-type ubiquitin ligases have a distinct zinc finger domain and are divided into two major types, RING-HC (C3HC4) and RING-H2 (C3H2C3) (Stone et al., 2005; Guzmán, 2014). \( VpRH2 \) from PM-resistant grape Baihe-35-1 was predicted as a RING-H2-type ATL20 ubiquitin ligase (Fig. 1A, B). In previous studies, 80 ATL subfamily members in Arabidopsis and 121 in \( Oryza \) sativa were identified and clustered into 14 groups (a–n) (Serrano et al., 2006).
Fig. 9. Overexpression of VpRH2 in Thompson Seedless enhanced the resistance to U. necator. (A) Transgenic and wild-type grapes used in this experiment. (B) The expression of GRP2A was detected by qRT-PCR. Leaves of transgenic Thompson Seedless were inoculated with U. necator and collected at eight time points. PM inoculation, powdery mildew inoculation; Mock, control inoculated with double-distilled water; hpi, hours post-inoculation. Significant differences were determined using a one-sided paired t-test (**P<0.01, *P<0.05). Expression values (±SE) of three experiments were normalized using VvActin7 as the internal control. (C) Transgenic grapes showed enhanced U. necator resistance. The images are microscopic visualizations of hyphal development 5 d after inoculation. Leaves were stained with Trypan blue; scale bars = 100 μm. (D) TUNEL- or PI-labeled signals detected in transgenic and wild-type grapes leaves. The leaves were inoculated with U. necator and examined after 5 d; scale bars = 100 μm. (E) Quantification of U. necator growth on grape leaves as determined by counting the number of conidiophores per colony at 5 d post-inoculation. The means (±SE) were based on at least 20 replications, and Significant differences were determined using a one-sided paired t-test (**P<0.01, *P<0.05).
with VpRH2 being classified into group k, the proteins in this group showing sequence similarities in Arabidopsis and *Oryza sativa*. However, whilst group k in Arabidopsis contains no intron, VpRH2 has one intron (Fig. 1A). A RING-HC ubiquitin ligase gene *EIRP1*, which positively regulates plant immunity by interacting with VpWRKY11 has previously been studied by our research group (Yu et al., 2013b). Comparing to EIRP1 from the same cDNA library, VpRH2 was also verified to participate in the plant response to *U. necator* by causing cell death (Fig. 9C, D).

In our results, the ATL family gene *VpRH2* influenced the immune response and plant development. Another ATL family gene, *ATL1*, was previously shown to function in the immune response and plant development in Arabidopsis (Serrano et al., 2014). Overexpressed *ATL1* induced severe growth inhibition in transgenic Arabidopsis plants, and *VpRH2*-overexpressing transgenic Thompson Seedless plants showed increased development compared with wild-type plants (Fig. 11B, C). Although they belong to the same family, *VpRH2* and *ATL1* produce completely different responses in plant development, possibly because *VpRH2* belongs to group k in the second clade, while *ATL1* belongs to group i in the first clade (Serrano et al., 2006). Knock-down of *ATL1* leads to hyper-susceptibility to powdery mildew infection in

![Fig. 10. qRT-PCR analysis of defense-related genes in wild-type and transgenic plants after *U. necator* inoculation. Expression of *NPR1* (A), *PR1* (B), *PR3* (C), *PR10.1* (D) and *BAK1* (E) was detected by qRT-PCR. Leaves of wild-type and transgenic OERH2 V. vinifera cv. Thompson Seedless were inoculated with *U. necator* and collected at eight time points; hpi, hours post-inoculation. Expression values (±SE) of three experiments were normalized using VvActin7 as the internal control.](image-url)
transgenic Arabidopsis plants (Serrano et al., 2014), and cell death was increased as a means to inhibit the spread of hyphae in VpRH2 over-expressing transgenic Thompson Seedless plants (Fig. 9C, D). Above all, despite inverse influences on plant development, the two genes show the same positive effect with regards to the response to powdery mildew.

In plants, several GRPs had been reported to be regulated by abiotic and biotic stresses. For example, two Malus GRPs have been shown to function in salt and drought stresses (Wang et al., 2010; Tan et al., 2014). OsGRP1, OsGRP4, and OsGRP6 increased cold tolerance when heterogeneically expressed in Arabidopsis (Kim et al., 2010). However, no GRPs had been reported in grapes. In yeast, VpGRP2A interacts with VpRH2-R1 but it does not interact with VpRH2. According to the Matchmaker™ Gold yeast two-hybrid system and previous studies, the transmembrane proteins may aggregate, misfold, or otherwise be unable to interact with partner proteins, when targeted to the aqueous nucleoplasm (Paumi et al., 2007). In our results, VpRH2 located in the cell membrane in Arabidopsis protoplasts and may also locate on the cell membrane or be secreted out in the yeast cells, so the transmembrane motif of VpRH2 may be the reason VpGRP2A interacts with VpRH2-R1 (VpRH2 no-transmembrane mutation) but not with VpRH2. And the different internal systems between yeast and plants cells may also influence the interaction between VpRH2 and VpGRP2A. VpGRP2A, which showed a 100% similarity to VvGRP2A in Thompson Seedless (Fig. 5C), is conserved in grape. VpGRP2A has high homology to glycine-rich RNA-binding protein7 (AtGRP7) in Arabidopsis (Fig. 5C), which has been more thoroughly studied than other reported GRPs (Fu et al., 2007; Kim et al., 2007, 2008; Schöning et al., 2007; Jeong et al., 2011; Kwak et al., 2011). AtGRP7 functions as a component of a circadian regulator and plays a role in the nucleus (Heintzen et al., 1997). From our subcellular study, VpGR2A has nuclear localization (Fig. 6A), similar to AtGRP7 (Heintzen et al., 1997). We predict that VpGRP2A may also play roles in the nucleus. In our study, RH2 in the mock treatment showed a decrease in expression at 6 and 12 hpi compared with other time points (Fig. 2A, B), while expression of GRP2A in the control showed a higher expression at 6 and 12 hpi compared with other time points (Fig. 5D, E), and the reason may be due to the grape GRP2A playing a similar role to Arabidopsis AtGRP7 in circadian regulation. AtGRP7 was found to interact with FLS2 and EFR (Nicaise et al., 2013). In our study, we showed that VpRH2 physically associated with VpGRP2A on the cytoplasm and cell membrane (Fig. 4C, D), where AtGRP7 interacts with FLS2 and EFR. As well as the interaction with AtGRP7, FLS2 and EFR were found to interact with BAK1, which is associated with developmental regulation and PRR-dependent signaling (Chinchilla et al., 2007; Roux et al., 2011). BAK1 had influence on the PTI signaling as a central regulator of PAMP-triggered immunity by integrating perception events into downstream PAMP responses as well as cell death in response to pathogen inoculation (Heese et al., 2007; Dodds and Rathjen, 2010; Chaparro-Garcia et al., 2011; Roux et al., 2011; Schwessinger et al., 2011). The

![Fig. 11. Phenotype analysis of 12 selected OERH2 transgenic Thompson Seedless lines. (A) Plants formed on planting medium for 2 months. Left is the wild-type Thompson Seedless, and right is the transgenic plant. (B) Plants grown on a feeding block for 15 d. Left is the wild-type Thompson Seedless, and right is the transgenic plant in each image. (C) Plants grown on a feeding block for 30 d. Left is the wild-type Thompson Seedless, and right is the transgenic plant in each image. (D) The effects of transgenic OERH2 in Vitis vinifera cv. Thompson Seedless on plant height. The means (±SE) were based on at least 10 replications. (E) Top: semi-thin sections of wild-type and transgenic Thompson Seedless leaves; scale bars = 25 μm. Bottom: ultra-thin sections of transgenic Thompson Seedless leaves; scale bars = 10 μm.](image-url)
expression of BAK1 in OERH2 was higher than that in wild-type grapes after PM-inoculation, so VpRH2 may participate in the PTI through the BAK1 pathway.

In Arabidopsis, AtGRP7 knock-out lines show more susceptibility to Pseudomonas syringae infection. The P. syringae effector HopU1 targets RNA-binding proteins (RBPs) including AtGRP7 because of the RNA-recognition motif (RRM) and reduces the RNA-binding activity of RBPs (Fu et al., 2007). AtGRP7 is ADP-ribosylated by HopU1, but R47K or R49K mutations block this modification in vivo and in vitro, so HopU1 recognizes AtGRP7 at its arginine 49 or arginine 47 (Fu et al., 2007); subsequently, the recognition site of HopU1 was determined as Arg49 while Arg47 was determined as the substrate recognition (Jeong et al., 2011). Interestingly, we analyzed the protein sequence of VpGRP2A and found that the positions 47 and 49 are also arginine. And so we predicted that VpGRP2A may play a similar role in biotic stress to AtGRP7.

The interaction between AtGRP7 and plant immune receptor mRNA is blocked after Pseudomonas syringae infection, and the level of FLS2 and EFR transcripts binding to GRP7 are strongly reduced (Nicaise et al., 2013). As another biotic stressor, U. necator may also influence the interaction between GRPs and plant immune receptor mRNA to inhibit the PTI in grapes. Free GRPs were increased after U. necator inoculation, and to maintain the balance of GRPs at the protein level, the transcript level of GRPs may decrease, as observed in our study. The transient expression assays in tobacco showed that VpGRP2A was stabilized after MG132 treatment (Fig. 7A) but there was almost no change by increasing the VpRH2 protein level (Fig. 7B), so VpGRP2A may be degraded by the 26S proteasome, but may not be degraded by VpRH2. The VpGRP2A protein level did not obviously change and maintained a relatively high level. And by qRT-PCR, we found that the transcriptional level of VP RH2 was increased by co-expression with VpGRP2A (Fig. 8A, B), so the interaction between VpRH2 and VpGRP2A may be due to the RRM domain and there may be a similar model to the interaction between AtGRP7 and FLS2 or EFR (Nicaise et al., 2013).

In plant immunity, NPR1 and its downstream gene PRI play the important roles in salicylic acid- (SA-) mediated defense response (Cao et al., 1997; Feys and Parker, 2000). In this study, the expression of PRI and NPR1 was more sensitive in transgenic grapes; but PR3, a key gene for the jasmonate- (JA-) mediated signaling pathway, showed almost no change between the transgenic and wild-type plants (Fig. 10). From this result, we predict that the RING-type ubiquitin ligase VpRH2 may influence SA-mediated, but not JA-mediated, plant immunity to enhance the resistance to PM (Feys and Parker, 2000).

In summary, the expressions of VpRH2 and VpGRP2A were induced by U. necator inoculation, and VpGRP2A positively regulated the transcript level of VpRH2 and overexpression of VpRH2 enhanced the resistance to PM in Thompson Seedless grapes. VpRH2 interacted with VpGRP2A at the protein level and VpGRP2A was degraded by the UPS, but VpRH2 did not promote this process. On the contrary, overexpression of VpGRP2A enhanced the expression of VpRH2 at the transcript level, and highly expressed VpRH2 inhibited the expression of VpGRP2A. Since VpGRP2A was degraded via the UPS, so we predict that VpGRP2A may be degraded by another ubiquitin ligase, and VpRH2 may also have another interaction protein to perform its E3 activity. This hypothesis needs further research to complete the full picture for PM-resistant gene cascades.
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